IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF ALLEGHENY HEALTH, EDUCATION & RESEARCH FOUNDATION,

Civil Action No. 00-684

Plaintiff,

Judge David Stewart Cercone

٧.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP,

Defendant.

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TO STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED AND MATERIAL FACTS PURSUANT TO RULE 56.1(D) IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

VOLUME I

Joseph F. McDonough (Pa. I.D. # 19853) MANION McDONOUGH & LUCAS, P.C. USX Tower 600 Grant Street, Suite 1414 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 232-0200

Thomas G. Rafferty Roger G. Brooks Antony L. Ryan CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 (212) 474-1000

Attorneys for Defendant PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP TAB 171

WDS

P.16

48485

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

În re:

ALLEGHENY HEALTH, EDUCATION AND RESEARCH FOUNDATION, ALLEGHENY UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES, ALLEGHENY UNIVERSITY MEDICAL PRACTICES ALLEGHENY HOSPITALS CENTENNIAL AND ALLEGHENY UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS-EAST.

Debtors.

Case Nos. 98-25773 MBM through 98-25777 MBM inclusive

Chapter 11

Consolidated for Administration at 98-25773 MBM

ORDER CONFIRMING DEBTORS' SECOND AMENDED CONSOLIDATED LIQUIDATING PLAN OF REORGANIZATION UNDER CHAPTER 11 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE

Allegheny Health, Education and Research Foundation, Allegheny University

Medical Practices, Allegheny Hospitals, Centennial, Allegheny University of the Health Sciences
and Allegheny University Hospitals-East (collectively, the "Debtors") having filed with this

Court their respective voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11, United States

Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") on July 21, 1998 (the "Petition Date");
and William J. Scharffenberger having been appointed the Chapter 11 Trustee of the Debtors;
and the Chapter 11 Trustee having filed the (1) Debtors' Second Amended Consolidated

Liquidating Plan of Reorganization dated December 5, 2000 (the "Plan") and the Amended

Disclosure statement dated August 15, 2000 (the "Disclosure Statement"); and the Disclosure

Statement having been approved as containing adequate information, as such term is defined in

Section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code, by Order of the Court dated August 15, 2000 (the

Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the Plan or the Disciosure Statement, as the case may be.

"Disclosure Statement Approval Order"); and the Disclosure Statement Approval Order having, inter alia, (1) authorized the Chapter 11 Trustee to solicit acceptances or rejections of the Plan, (2) approved the form of ballots (the "Ballots") to be transmitted with the Plan and Disclosure Statement for voting purposes, (3) fixed October 18, 2000, at 5:00 p.m. (Pittsburgh time) as the deadline for (a) Ballots accepting or rejecting the Plan to be received (the "Voting Deadline") and (b) objections to confirmation of the Plan to be filed and served (the "Objection Deadline") and (4) approved the form and manner of notice of the hearing on confirmation of the Plan and of the Voting Deadline and Objection Deadline; and this Court having subsequently scheduled a hearing pursuant to Section 1128 of the Bankruptcy Code for December 14, 2000 at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard (the "Confirmation Hearing"); and the Chapter 11 Trustee having solicited votes on the Plan by transmitting copies of the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, the Disclosure Statement Approval Order, notice of the Confirmation Hearing (the "Confirmation Hearing Notice") and a Ballot and/or Master Ballot to all impaired creditors and banks or brokers holding the Centennial Bonds for the beneficial ownership of other entities or individuals entitled to vote on the Plan; and the Court having considered the Declaration of Carole G. Donlin Certifying the Ballots Accepting or Rejecting the Plan sworn to on December 4, 2000 (the "Ballot Declaration"); and it appearing that due notice of the Voting Deadline, the Confirmation Hearing and the Objection Deadline having been given by the Chapter 11 Trustee to creditors and other parties-in-interest in accordance with the Disclosure Statement Approval Order, the Bankruptcy Code and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") as evidenced by the affidavits of mailing and of publication filed with this Court; and the Chapter 11 Trustee having filed with this Court a Memorandum of Law, dated December 5, 2000, and an Affidavit of Charles P. Morrison in support of confirmation, sworn to on December

WDS

P.18

4, 2000; and objections to confirmation of the Plan having been filed by (1) the AHERF Lenders² (the "AHERF Lenders' Objection") (2) Sarah Lohwater, (3) Bell Atlantic, (4) Comprehensive Safety Compliance, Inc., (5) the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, (6) InPhyNet Contracting Services, Inc., (7) certain breast implant litigants, (8) the Attorney General of Pennsylvania, and (9) the United States Government, Department of Health and Human Services (together with the AHERF Lenders' Objection, collectively, the "Objections"); and upon all the documents and the evidence of record adduced at the Confirmation Hearing and the statements of the parties appearing at such hearing; and upon all the pleadings and proceedings heretofore had in these Chapter 11 Cases; and after due deliberation and consideration; and sufficient cause appearing therefor; and

IT HAVING BEEN FOUND AND DETERMINED by this Court that:

A. Jurisdiction and Venne. This Court has jurisdiction over the Chapter 11 Cases, and the subject matter of the Confirmation Hearing, and all issues raised by the Objections, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Confirmation of the Plan is a "core proceeding" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(L) and this Court has jurisdiction to enter a Final Order with respect thereto. Venue of the Chapter 11 Cases in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The Debtors are entitles eligible for relief under Section 109 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The AHERF Lenders include Mellon Bank, N.A., Toronto Dominion (New York), Inc., Bank One, National Association as successor in interest to Bank One, Akron, N.A., and Bank One, National Association formerly known as the First National Bank of Chicago.

JAN-31-2005 12:39

WDS

- B. Notice. In accordance with the Disclosure Statement Approval Order, the Chapter 11 Trustee timely (1) mailed notice of the Voting Deadline, of the date, time and place for the Confirmation Hearing and of the deadline and procedures respecting the Objection Deadline upon the parties set forth in the Disclosure Statement Approval Order and (2) published such notice in the publications set forth in the Disclosure Statement Approval Order. Adequate and sufficient notice of the Confirmation Hearing, the Voting Deadline and the Objection Deadline, the proposed substantive consolidation of the Estates pursuant to Article 2 of the Plan and other requirements, deadlines, hearings and matters described in the Disclosure Statement Approval Order was provided in compliance with the Disclosure Statement Approval Order and the Bankruptcy Rules, and no other and further notice is required. All parties-in-interest had the opportunity to appear and be heard at the Confirmation Hearing.
- Reasonable Classification of Claims (Section 1122(a)). The classification C. of Claims in Article 4 of the Plan is reasonable and necessary, and places Claims in a particular Class where such Claims are substantially similar to the other Claims of such Class, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1122(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- D. Designation of Classes (Section 1123(a)(1)). Article 4 of the Plan properly designates all Classes of Claims, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1123(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- E. Specification of Unimpaired Classes (Section 1123(a)(2)). Article 4 of the Plan specifies the Classes of Claims which are unimpaired or impaired, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1123(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- F. Specification of Treatment of Impaired Classes (Section 1123(a)(3)). Article 5 of the Plan specifies the treatment of each impaired Class of Claims, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1123(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- G. No Discrimination (Section 1123(a)(4)). The Plan provides the same treatment for each Claim in a particular Class, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1123(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- H. Implementation of the Plan (Section 1123(a)(5)). Articles 6 and 7 and the other provisions of the Plan provide adequate means for the Plan's implementation, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1123(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- I. Equity Securities (Section 1123(a)(6)). The requirements of Section 1123(a)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code are inapplicable because the Plan contemplates the liquidation of the Estates and it does not provide for the issuance of any securities.
- J. Selection of Officers and Directors (Section 1123(a)(7)). The Plan provides for the management and governance of Liquidating AHERF in a manner that is consistent with the interests of creditors and with public policy with respect to the manner of selection of any officer, director or trustee under the Plan, and any successor to such officer, director or trustee, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1123(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code.

JAN-31-2005 12:40

WDS

K. Impairment or Unimpairment of Claims (Section 1123(b)(1)). The Plan impairs or leaves unimpaired, as the case may be, each Class of Claims, and therefore complies with Section 1123(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- L. Assumption or Rejection of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases (Section 1123(b)(2)). Article 9 of the Plan provides for the rejection on the Confirmation Date of any executory contracts or unexpired leases (other than Insurance Policies) which (a) have not expired by their own terms on or prior to the Confirmation Date, (b) have not been assumed and assigned or rejected with the approval of the Bankruptcy Court on or prior to the Confirmation Date, or (c) are not the subject of a motion to assume or reject the same which is pending at the time of the Confirmation Date, and therefore the Plan complies with Section 1123(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- M. Settlement and Compromise (Section 1123(b)(3)). The Plan complies with Section 1123(b)(3)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code because any settlement and compromise incorporated in the Plan including, without limitation, the settlements with (a) MBIA Insurance Corporation and PNC Bank, N.A. regarding the treatment of the Secured and Unsecured Claims of holders of MBIA/PNC Claims and (b) Bank of New York, as indenture trustee acting for and on behalf of bondholders holding claims against Allegheny Hospital, Centennial regarding the treatment of the Secured and Unsecured Claims of holders of Centennial Bondholder Claims whereby, among other things, holders of Allowed Centennial Unsecured Claims receive a payment in Cash equal to 1.5% of such Allowed Claim and an Unsecured Claim Liquidation Participation equal to the 30% of such Allowed Claim, (1) reflects a reasonable balance of the risks and expenses of litigation against the benefits and early resolution of the disputes in a

context that permits confirmation of the Plan, (2) falls within the range of reasonableness for the resolution of complex litigation or litigable issues and claims and (3) is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the Debtors, their Estates and all holders of Claims.

- N. <u>Plan Compliance With Provisions of the Bankruptev Code (Section</u>

 1129(a)(1)). The Plan complies with all applicable provisions of the Bankruptey Code including, without limitation, Sections 1122 and 1123 and, as required pursuant to Bankruptey Rule

 3016(b), is dated and identifies the Chapter 11 Trustee as the proponent of the Plan, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(1) of the Bankruptey Code.
- O. <u>Proponent Compliance With Provisions of the Bankruptcy Code (Section 1129(a)(2))</u>. The Chapter 11 Trustee, as proponent of the Plan, has complied with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code including, without limitation, Sections 1125 and 1126, and therefore the Chapter 11 Trustee has satisfied the requirements of Section 1129(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- P: Plan Proposed in Good Faith (Section 1129(a)(3)). The Plan has been proposed in good faith, for the valid business purposes of liquidating and distributing the Estate Assets and resolving and administering claims, and resolving other disputes, and has not been proposed by any means forbidden by law, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Q. Payment of Costs and Expenses (Section 1129(a)(4)). Any payment made or to be made by the Debtors for services or for costs and expenses in or in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, or in connection with the Plan and incident to the Chapter 11 Cases, has been

approved by, or will be subject to the approval of, this Court as reasonable, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- P. Disclosure of Identities of Officers. Directors and Insiders (Section 1129(a)(5)). The Chapter 11 Trustee has disclosed the identity and other relevant information of all individuals, including insiders, proposed to serve, after confirmation of the Plan, as a , Bankruptcy Officer of Liquidating AHERF pursuant to a Designation of Bankruptcy Officers dated October 11, 2000 (the "Designation of Bankruptcy Officers") which was filed with this Court, and the appointment to, or continuance in such office of each such individual, subject to the provisions of the Plan and the Liquidating AHERF Bylaws, is consistent with the interests of creditors and with public policy, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- S. No Rate Change (Section 1129(a)(6)). Section 1129(a)(6) is inapplicable to the Plan because there are no rate changes provided for in the Plan for which a governmental regulatory commission will have jurisdiction over the Debtors after confirmation of the Plan.
- T. Best Interest of Creditors (Section 1129(a)(7)). With respect to each impaired Class of Claims, each holder of a Claim of such Class entitled to vote on the Plan has accepted the Plan, or will receive or retain under the Plan on account of such Claim (including, without limitation, holders of Allowed Centennial Unsecured Claims), property of a value, as of the Effective Date of the Plan, that is not less than the amount that such holder would so receive or retain if the Debtors were liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on such date.

 Therefore, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code.

WDS

- U. <u>Plan Acceptance (Section 1129(a)(8))</u>. Each Class, except Class 7, has accepted the Plan, or is not impaired under the Plan and thus is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan pursuant to Section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(8) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Plan provides for no distribution to holders of Insurance Claims (Class 7) and, therefore, pursuant to Section 1126(g), Class 7 is deemed to reject the Plan. The Plan does not discriminate unfairly against, and is fair and equitable with respect to, holders of Insurance Claims and, therefore, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- V. Plan Treatment of Administrative Expense Claims, Priority Claims and Tax Claims (Section 1129(a)(9)). The Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code because, except to the extent that the holder of a particular Claim has agreed to a different treatment of such Claim, the Plan provides that Administrative Expense Claims pursuant to Section 507(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, Priority Claims pursuant to Sections 507(a)(2) through 507(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code and Priority Tax Claims pursuant to Section 507(a)(8) of the Bankruptcy Code shall be treated in accordance with the provisions of Section 1129(a)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- W. Acceptance by at Least One Impaired Class (Section 1129(a)(10)). At least one Class of Claims that is impaired under the Plan has accepted the Plan, determined without including any acceptance of the Plan by any insider holding a Claim in such Class, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(10) of the Bankruptcy Code.

WDS

- X. Feasibility (Section 1129(a)(11)). The Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(11) of the Bankruptcy Code because the Debtors will have sufficient Cash to meet all payment and funding obligations under Articles 3 and 5 and Section 6.9 of the Plan for distribution to secured and unsecured creditors upon the occurrence of the Effective Date. Therefore, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(11) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Y. Payment of Fees (Section 1129(a)(12)). Pursuant to Section 14.13 of the Plan, Liquidating AHERF shall be responsible for the payment of any post-confirmation fees due pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1930(a)(6) and the filing of post-confirmation reports, until a final decree is entered, and therefore the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(12) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Z. Retires Benefits (Section 1129(a)(13)). To the extent applicable to the Debtors, Section 9.4 of the Plan provides that payment of any retiree benefits shall be continued solely to the extent, if any, and for the duration of the period the Debtors are contractually or legally obligated to provide such benefits, subject to any and all rights of the Debtors under applicable law, and therefore the Plan complies with Section 1129(a)(13) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 1, Cramdown (Section 1129(b)). With respect to holders of Insurance Claims (Class 7) who are deemed to reject the Plan, the Plan does not discriminate unfairly against such holders and is fair and equitable and, therefore, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- BB. No Other Plan (Section 1129(c)). No other plan of reorganization has been filed with respect to the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases.
- CC. Avoidance of Taxes or Application of Securities Laws (Section 1129(d)).

 The principal purpose of the Plan is not the avoidance of taxes or the avoidance of the application of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933. In addition, no party-in-interest that is a governmental unit has requested that the Plan not be confirmed on such grounds. The Plan, therefore, satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- DD. <u>Discharge and Injunction</u>. The discharge and injunction provisions set forth in Article 10 of the Plan and the exculpation provision set forth in Section 14.2 of the Plan (1) are within the jurisdiction of this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334(a), (b) and (d), (2) are each an essential means of implementing the Plan pursuant to Section 1123(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code, (3) are integral elements of the settlements and compromises incorporated in the Plan, (4) confer material benefits on, and thus are in the best interests of, the Debtors' Estates and (5) are. in the facts and circumstances of these Chapter 11 Cases, consistent with and permitted pursuant to Sections 105, 524, 1123, 1129 and all other applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.
- EE. Substantive Consolidation and Related Issues. The testimony proffered and the facts adduced at the Confirmation Hearing establish that the substantive consolidation of the Debtors' Estates is warranted for all purposes as set forth in Article 2 of the Plan in the Chapter 11 Cases pursuant to Section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors share a substantial identity in part because AHERF is the sole member of the other Debtors, AHERF served as the general and administrative service provider for all of the Debtors, assets and

JAN-31-2005 12:41

WDS

business functions of all of the Debtors are commingled, and it would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to segregate and ascertain individual assets and liabilities of the Debtors. The burden and costs of disentangling the Estates heavily outweigh the benefit to creditors. The affairs of the Debtors are so entangled that substantive consolidation of the Estates will benefit all creditors. Unwinding the Debtors' assets and liabilities will substantially and materially delay the distribution of funds to creditors, will deplete the assets of the Debtors' Estates substantially to pay the resultant administrative expenses, will involve immumerable speculative assumptions that will be contested by creditors of the individual Estates, and, ultimately, is not likely to be feasible. Creditors of the Estates will also benefit from substantive consolidation due to the elimination of the substantial inter-Debtor claims, which would otherwise be General Unsecured Claims against the Estates. Liquidating plans for each of the Estates cannot be confirmed unless and until complicated and substantial inter-Debtor claims and inter-creditor disputes could be resolved because they constitute substantial liabilities against various of the individual Debtors and would constitute the most significant liabilities of certain Debtors, including AHERF. The evidence establishes that an accurate and expeditious determination of inter-company claims and inter-creditor disputes cannot be made. Creditors extended trade and/or bank credit to the Debtors as a single economic unit and did not rely on the separate identities of the many affiliates of AHERF. Creditors are not prejudiced by the substantive consolidation of the Debtors' Estates as contemplated in the Plan.

FF. Solicitation and Tabulation of Acceptances. As evidenced by the Ballot Declaration, the solicitation and tabulation of acceptances and rejections of the Plan was accomplished in a proper, fair and lawful manner in accordance with the Disclosure Statement

WDS

P.28

Approval Order and/or all applicable Bankruptcy Rules. The Plan has been duly accepted by the holders of Claims whose acceptance is required in accordance with the provisions of Section 1126(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- Plan Transfers. All transfers and issuances by the Debtors are transfers GG. under the Plan free from the imposition of taxes of the kind specified in Section 1146(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and are subject to the exemptions of Section 1145 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- HH. Chapter 11 Trustee's Duties. The Chapter 11 Trustee has completed and fulfilled all of his obligations and duties with respect to the Debtors' Estates through the Confirmation Date pursuant to Section 1106 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- II. Modification of the Plan. Any modifications of the Plan provided for and approved by this Order are non-material and do not adversely change the treatment of the holder of any Claim against the Debtors under the Plan. In accordance with Section 1127 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3019, all holders of Claims who voted to accept the Plan are hereby deemed to have accepted the Plan, as amended in accordance with any modifications. No holder of a Claim who has voted to accept the Plan shall be permitted to change its acceptance to a rejection as a consequence of such modifications. Disclosure of any modifications on the record of the Confirmation Hearing constitutes due and sufficient notice thereof.

IT IS NOW HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. <u>Confirmation</u>. The Plan shall be, and hereby is, confirmed, having met the requirements of Section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code. The terms of the Plan are incorporated by reference into, and are an integral part of, this Confirmation Order.
- 2. <u>Qbiections Overruled</u>. The Objections to confirmation of the Plan that have not been withdrawn prior to entry of this Confirmation Order or are not cured by the relief granted herein shall be, and they hereby are, overruled in their entirety, and all withdrawn Objections shall be, and they hereby are, deemed withdrawn with prejudice and without costs.
- 3. Record Date. Pursuant to the Plan, and in accordance with Bankruptcy
 Rule 3021, the Distribution Record Date for the purposes of determining those holders of Claims
 entitled to receive distributions under the Plan shall be the Business Day after the Confirmation
 Date.
- 4. <u>Confirmation Hearing</u> The record of this Confirmation Hearing shall be, and hereby is, closed.
- 5. <u>Modifications</u>. Any modifications to the Plan shall be, and hereby are, approved and are incorporated into and made part of the Plan.
- 6. Allowance of Claims. (a) Pursuant to Section 5.3 of the Plan, the holders of Centennial Bondholders Claims shall be, and they hereby are, granted (i) an Allowed Secured Claim in the aggregate amount of \$33 million and (ii) an Allowed Centennial Unsecured Claim

P.30

in the aggregate amount of \$105.6 million, which shall be subject to reductions, to the extent necessary, pursuant to Section 5.3(e) of the Plan.

- Pursuant to Section 5.4 of the Plan, the holders of MBIA/PNC Claims (b) shall be, and they hereby are, granted an Allowed Secured Claim in the aggregate amount of \$50 million and an Allowed Unsecured Claim in the aggregate amount of \$340.3 million, each of such Claims shall be treated as provided in Section 5.4(c) of the Plan and, to the extent, if necessary, pursuant to Section 5.4(d) of the Plan.
- 7. Implementation of the Plan. In accordance with Section 1142 of the Bankruptcy Code, the implementation and consummation of the Plan in accordance with its terms shall be, and hereby is, authorized and approved, and the Chapter 11 Trustee, the Creditors' Committee or any other Person designated pursuant to the Plan shall be, and they hereby are, authorized, empowered and directed to issue, execute, deliver, file and record any document, whether or not any such document is specifically referred to in the Plan, the Disclosure Statement or any exhibit thereto, and to take any action necessary or appropriate to consummate the Plan in accordance with its terms. Without in any manner limiting the foregoing, the execution and delivery, performance, filing or recordation by the Chapter 11 Trustee, the Creditor's Committee or any other entity or Person designated pursuant to the Plan, of each of the documents, instruments and agreements contemplated by or necessary in connection with consummation of the Plan, are hereby authorized and approved.
- 8. Binding Effect. In accordance with Section 1141(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Plan and all of its provisions shall be, and hereby are, binding upon the Debtors,

WDS

P.31

Liquidating AHERF, any Person acquiring or receiving property or a distribution under the Plan, any lessor or lessee of property to or from the Debtors, any creditor of the Debtors and any holder of a Claim against the Debtors, whether or not the Claim of such holder is impaired under the Plan and whether or not such holder (a) has filed, or is deemed to have filed, a proof of Claim, (b) has accepted or rejected the Plan or (c) will or will not receive a distribution under the Plan.

9. Substantive Consolidation. On the Effective Date: (i) all assets (and all proceeds thereof) and liabilities of the Debtors shall be deemed merged or treated as though they were merged into and with the assets and liabilities of AHERF, (ii) no distributions shall be made under the Plan on account of inter-company Claims among the Debtors and all such Claims shall be eliminated, (iii) all guarantees of the Debtors of the obligations of any other Debtor shall be deemed eliminated and extinguished so that any claim against any Debtor and any guarantee thereof executed by any other Debtor and any joint or several liability of any of the Debtors shall be deemed to be one obligation of the consolidated Debtors, and (iv) each and every Claim filed or to be filed in any of the Chapter 11 Cases shall be deemed filed against the consolidated Debtors, and shall be deemed one Claim against and obligation of the consolidated Debtors; provided, however, that (a) for purposes of determining the availability of the right of set-off under Section 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors shall be treated as separate entities so that, subject to the other provisions of Section 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, debts due to any of the Debtors may not be set-off against the debts of any of the other Debtors, (b) for purposes of determining the plaintiff of, and the availability of defenses to, Recovery Actions, the Debtors may, at the option of the Chapter 11 Trustee upon the direction of the Creditors' Committee, be treated as separate entities and (c) holders of Centennial Unsecured Claims shall be afforded the

WDS

P.32

Unsecured Creditors for purposes of distributing under or voting for the Plan as a result of giving effect to this Article 2. To the extent that a creditor, including, but not limited to, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, is holding an Allowed Unsecured Claim against more than one of the Debtors' Estates arising out of the joint and several obligations of the Debtors, such creditor shall be treated as a holder of an Allowed General Unsecured Claim under Class 5(A) of the Plan.

10. Section 1146(c) Exemption. In accordance with Section 1146(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, (a) the issuance, transfer or exchange of any security under the Plan or the making or delivery of any instrument of transfer pursuant to, in implementation of, or as contemplated by the Plan, including any merger agreements or agreements of consolidation. deeds, bills of sale or assignments executed in connection with any of the transactions contemplated under the Plan, or the revesting, transfer or sale of any real or personal property of the Debtors pursuant to, in implementation of, or as contemplated by the Plan, (b) the making, delivery, creation, assignment, amendment or recording of any note or other obligation for the payment of money or any mortgage, deed of trust or other security interest under, in furtherance of, or in connection with the Plan, the issuance, renewal, modification or securing of indebtedness by such means and (c) the making, delivery or recording of any deed or other instrument of transfer under, in furtherance of, or in connection with, the Plan, including, without limitation, this Confirmation Order, shall not be subject to any document recording tax, stamp tax, conveyance fee or other similar tax, mortgage tax, real estate transfer tax, mortgage recording tax or other similar tax or governmental assessment. Consistent with the foregoing,

WDS

P.33

each recorder of deeds or similar official for any county, city or governmental unit in which any instrument hereunder is to be recorded shall be, and hereby is, directed to accept such instrument. without requiring the payment of any documentary stamp tax, deed stamps, stamp tax, transfer tax, intangible tax or similar tax.

- Exemption From Securities Laws. The exemption from the requirements 11. of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. § 77e, and any state or local law requiring registration for the offer or sale of a security, provided for in Section 1145 of the Bankruptcy Code, shall apply to the Series A Bondholder Secured Liquidation Participations, the Series B Bondholder Secured Liquidation Participations, the Series C Bondholder Secured Liquidation Participations and the Unsecured Claim Liquidation Participations to be granted to creditors pursuant to the Plan.
- 12. Binding Effect of Prior Bankruptcy Court Orders. Subject to the terms of the Plan and this Confirmation Order, all prior orders of this Court entered in the Chapter 11 Cases, all documents and agreements executed by the Chapter 11 Trustee or the Debtors as authorized and directed thereunder, and all motions or requests for relief by the Debtors pending before the Court as of the Effective Date shall be, and hereby are, binding upon, and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of such Persons.
- 13. Corporate Authority to Implement Plan. Except as may otherwise be required pursuant to the Plan or any documents or agreements implemented in connection therewith, all terms of the Plan, and all documents which are contemplated to be executed in connection with the Plan, may be put into effect and carried out, without further action by the

12:43 WDS JAN-31-2005

Filed 08/19/2005

trustees of Liquidating AHERF, who shall be deemed to have unanimously approved the Plan and all agreements and transactions provided for or contemplated therein, including, without limitation. (a) the adoption of the Liquidating AHERF Bylaws and (b) the initial selection of directors and officers of the Bankruptcy officers.

- Authority to Grant Releases. Without limiting the generality of Sention 14. 6.3(b) of the Plan, in connection with the compromise and settlement of any Recovery Actions, the Creditors' Committee and the Chapter 11 Trustee (with prior consent of the Creditors' Committee), are authorized to release and discharge, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the non-Debtor parties to the Recovery Actions from all Claims and Causes of Action that the Debtors, the Creditors' Committee or the Chapter I1 Trustee has or may have whether known or unknown against such Persons. Any settlement effectuated prior to the Confirmation Date, upon notice thereof to the Bankruptcy Court, shall be deemed incorporated into the Plan and this Order by this reference thereto, and the terms and provisions thereof shall be deemed a settlement pursuant to Section 1123(b)(3)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 15. Attorney Client Privilege. In the event that the Chapter 11 Trustee (with the prior consent of the Creditors' Committee) or the Creditors' Committee determine to waive any aspect of their, the Debtors' Estates', or Liquidating AHERF's attorney-client privilege (including any other applicable privileges or other immunities from disclosure) in connection with requests for documentation and information heretofore sought or to be sought by governmental agencies or other third parties investigating and litigating matters related to the Debtors, such determination shall be, and hereby is, deemed as (i) a reasonable exercise of the

WDS

P.35

Creditors' Committee's and the Chapter 11 Trustee's business judgment, as the case may be, and
(ii) in the best interest of the Beneficiaries.

16. Disbursing Agent. The Chapter 11 Trustee (or a disbursing agent designated by the Chapter 11 Trustee and the Creditors' Committee) shall be, and hereby is, authorized and directed to serve as disbursing agent to make all distributions required under the Plan (a) to the holders of Allowed Claims and (b) thereafter, to the extent Disputed Claims become Allowed, to the holders of such Allowed Claims. All distributions including any proceeds from the Recovery Actions shall be made pursuant to, and in accordance with, the provisions of Articles 5 and 6 of the Plan. Any payment of Cash made by Liquidating AHERF (by the Chapter 11 Trustee or his disbursing agent) pursuant to the Plan shall be made by wire transfer or check drawn on a domestic bank; provided, however, that until no later than December 21, 2000 at 5:00 p.m. (Pittsburgh time), holders of Allowed Claims in excess of \$15 million in the aggregate may provide to the Chapter 11 Trustee or his disbursing agent written instructions for the receipt by wire transfer of distributions required to be made from the Estates upon the occurrence of and after the Effective Date. Any payments made by the AHERF Lenders pursuant to that certain order (the "Settlement Order") approving the Stipulation of Settlement and Order dated December 6, 2000 (the "Stipulation") among the Chapter 11 Trustee, the AHERF Lenders and the Creditors' Committee directly to parties designated by the Chapter 11 Trustee as provided in the Settlement Order shall be deemed to be distributions made in accordance with Section 6.6(b) of the Plan. All other distributions under the Plan required to be made to holders of Allowed Claims upon the occurrence of the Effective Date shall be deemed in

accordance with the Plan if made by the Chapter 11 Trustee or his disbursing agent as soon as practicable after the occurrence of the Effective Date.

- 27. Discharge. Subject to, and upon the occurrence of, the Effective Date, and except as otherwise expressly provided in Section 1141 of the Bankruptcy Code or the Plan, the distributions made pursuant to and in accordance with the applicable terms and conditions, of the Plan are in full and final satisfaction, settlement, release and discharge as against the Debtors of any debt that arose before the Effective Date, and any debt of a kind specified in Section 502(g), 502(h) or 502(i) of the Bankruptcy Code, and all Claims against the Debtors or Estates of any nature, including, without limitation, any interest accrued thereon from and after the Petition Date, whether or not (i) a proof of Claim based on such debt, obligation or equity interest is filed or deemed filed under Section 501 of the Bankruptcy Code, (ii) such Claim is Allowed under Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code or (iii) the holder of such Claim has accepted the Plan; provided, however, that the foregoing discharge shall not apply to rights of holders of Insurance Claims to name the Debtors as nominal defendants in any litigation solely to obtain a right of recovery against any applicable Insurance Policy (but not to enforce a judgment against any property of the Debtors or Liquidating AHERF).
- Plan, all Persons are hereby permanently enjoined from commencing or continuing, in any manner or in any place, any action of other proceeding, whether directly, derivatively or otherwise against the Debtors, their Estates, the Chapter 11 Trustee or Liquidating AHERF, on account of or respecting any Claims, debts, rights. Causes of Action or liabilities discharged pursuant to the Plan, except to the extent expressly permitted under the Plan.

WDS

- 19. Exculpation. Neither the Debtors, the Chapter 11 Trustee, the Creditors' Committee (including its individual members), the Bankruptcy Officers or their advisors, agents or Professionals shall have or incur any liability to any holder of a Claim or any fiduciary for such holder for any act or omission in connection with, related to, or arising out of, the Chapter 11 Cases, the preparation or formulation of the Plan, the pursuit of confirmation of the Plan, the consummation of the Plan or the administration of the Plan or the property to be distributed under the Plan, except for willful misconduct or gross negligence, and, in all respects, the Debtors, the Chapter 11 Trustee, or Creditors' Committee and each of their respective members, officers, directors, employees, advisors, agents and Professionals shall be entitled to rely upon the advice of counsel with respect to their duties and responsibilities under the Plan; provided, however, that nothing in the Plan shall, or shall be deemed to, release or exculpate such parties with respect to their respective obligations or covenants arising pursuant to this Plan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, and except as provided in the PBGC Settlement Agreement, nothing in this Plan shall constitute or be construed as a release or exculpation from liability for claims against non-Debtors brought by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act or other applicable laws that relate to fiduciary obligations to or administration of the Allegheny Health, Education and Research Foundation Retirement Account Plan, the Graduate Hospital Pension Plan, the Graduate Hospital Teamsters Plan and the Zurbrugg Memorial Hospital Retirement Income Plan.
- 20. <u>Settlements and Compromises</u>. All settlements and compromises contained in the Plan, including, but not limited to, those referred to in paragraph M of this Order, are approved pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019 as fair, prudent and reasonable

WDS

P.38

compromises of the controversies and Claims resolved by such settlements and are binding upon all entities affected thereby.

- Estate Assets' Reversion. The following amounts shall become Estate 21. Assets and shall be distributed pursuant to Section 6.6 of the Plan; (i) distributions under the Plan that are unclaimed for a period of one year after the date of such distribution and (ii) to the extent that a Disputed Claim is not Allowed or becomes an Allowed Claim in an amount less than the Disputed Claim Amount, the excess of the amount of Cash or Cash Equivalents in the Disputed Claims Reserve attributable to such Disputed Claim over the amount of Cash actually distributed on account of such Disputed Claim plus Reserve Income related thereto.
- 22, Plan Documents. The Chapter 11 Trustee is authorized to execute. deliver, file or record such contracts, instruments, releases and other agreements or documents reasonably acceptable to the Creditors' Committee and take such actions as may be necessary or appropriate to effectuate and further evidence the terms and conditions of the Plan.
- Rejection of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases. Any executory 23. contracts or unexpired leases (other than Insurance Policies) which (a) have not expired by their own terms on or prior to the Confirmation Date. (b) have not been assumed and assigned or rejected with the approval of the Bankruptcy Court on or prior to the Confirmation Date, or (c) are not the subject of a motion to assume or reject the same which is pending at the time of the Confirmation Date, shall be deemed rejected on the Confirmation Date, and the entry of the Confirmation Order by the Bankruptcy Court shall constitute approval of such rejections pursuant to sections 365(a) and 1123 of the Bankruptcy Code.

- 24. Rejection Damage Claims. If the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease by the Debtors pursuant to Section 9.1 of the Plan results in a claim for damages to the other party or parties to such contract or lease, any claim for such damages, if not heretofore evidenced by a filed proof of claim, shall be forever barred and shall not be enforceable against the Estates, Liquidating AHERF or their respective properties or agents, successors, or assigns, unless a proof of claim is filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon counsel for the Chapter 11 Trustee on or before forty-five days following the Confirmation Date. Unless otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court or provided in the Plan, all such Claims for which proofs of claim are timely filed will be treated as General Unsecured Claims, or Centennial Unsecured Claims, as the case may be, subject to the provisions of the Plan. The Chapter 11 Trustee shall have the right to object to any rejection damage claims filed in accordance with the preceding sentence.
- 25. Retention of Jurisdiction. Pursuant to Section 12.1 of the Plan, this Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction of the following specified matters arising out of, and related to, the Chapter 11 Cases, the Plan and Liquidating AHERF pursuant to, and for the purposes of, Sections 105(a) and 1142 of the Bankruptcy Code (a) to hear and determine the Recovery Actions or matters related thereunder to enable the Chapter 11 Trustee and the Creditors' Committee to prosecute the Recovery Actions; (b) to hear and determine any and all objections to the allowance of any Claims or any controversies as to the classification of any Claims or to liquidate or estimate any Disputed Claim, provided that only the Chapter 11 Trustee, and the Creditors' Committee may file objections to Claims; (c) to hear and determine any and all applications by Professionals for compensation and reimbursement of expenses, pursuant to

WDS

P.40

Section 3.2(b) of the Plan; (d) to hear and determine any and all pending applications for the rejection or assumption of executory contracts and unexpired leases, and fix and allow any Claims resulting therefrom; (e) to enforce the provisions of the Plan subject to the terms thereof; (f) to correct any defect, cure any omission, or reconcile any inconsistency in the Plan, Plan Documents or in the Confirmation Order as may be necessary to carry out the purpose and the intent of the Plan; (g) to determine any Claim or liability to a governmental unit which may be asserted as a result of the transactions contemplated herein; (h) to hear and determine matters concerning state, local, and federal taxes in accordance with Sections 346, 505 and 1146 of the Bankruptcy Code; and (i) to determine such other matters as may be provided for herein.

- Continuation of Creditors' Committee. On the Effective Date, the 26. Creditors' Committee shall, among other things, continue to act as plaintiff in the Recovery Actions, pursuant to Section 1123(3)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code, to which it is a party, and to fulfil its other obligations under the Plan, as a five member committee consisting of one representative of each of MBIA, PNC, the Centennial Indenture Trustee, Aetna US Healthcare, Inc. and Health America. pursuant to bylaws which the Creditors' Committee may, in its sole discretion, adopt.
- Continuation of Chapter 11 Trustee. On the Effective Date, the Chapter 27. 11 Trustee shall, among other things, continue to act as plaintiff in the Recovery Actions, pursuant to Section 1123(3)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code, to which he is a party and to fulfill his other obligations under the Plan and shall be appointed as sole trustee and chief liquidating officer of Liquidating AHERF, subject in all respects to the supervision of the Creditors' Committee, the provisions of the Plan and the Liquidating AHERF Bylaws.

WDS

P.41

28. Professional Compensation and Expense Reimbursement Claims. All entities, including, without limitation, the individual members of the Creditors' Committee, seeking an award by the Bankruptcy Court of professional fees, including the fees of the Chapter 11 Trustee, or of compensation for services rendered or reimbursement of expenses incurred through and including the Confirmation Date under Sections 503(b)(2), 503(b)(3), 503(b)(4) or 503(b)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code, (a) shall file their respective final applications for allowances of compensation for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses incurred through the Confirmation Date (including, without limitation, for the period August 1, 2000 through and including November 30, 2000) by no later than January 17, 2001, (b) shall appear at a hearing on February 6, 2001 at which this Court shall consider all of said final and interim fee applications which heretofore have been filed but not determined by this Court, pursuant to such notice as this Court may direct by separate order, and (c) if granted such an award by the Bankruptcy Court, shall be paid in full in such amounts as are allowed by the Bankruptcy Court (i) on the later of the Effective Date or the date such Administrative Expense Claim becomes an Allowed Administrative Expense Claim, or as soon thereafter as is practicable or (ii) upon such other terms as may be mutually agreed upon between such holder of an Allowed Administrative Expense Claim and the Chapter 11 Trustee and the Creditors' Committee. All fees and expenses of Professionals for services rendered in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases and the Plan after the Confirmation Date, including, without limitation, those relating to the occurrence of the Effective Date, the prosecution of Causes of Action preserved hereunder and the resolution of Disputed Claims, shall be paid by the Chapter 11 Trustee from the assets of Liquidating AHERF in the amount of 90% of fees asserted and 100% of expenses incurred upon receipt of reasonably detailed invoices therefor by the Chapter 11 Trustee and Creditors' Committee, for such amounts

WDS

P.42

and on such terms as may be agreed to between such Professional and the Chapter 11 Trustee, with the consent of the Creditors' Committee, with the payment of the balance of such fees to be made upon fee applications to be filed with and determined by the Bankruptcy Court on a quarterly basis, upon such notice as the Bankruptcy Court may direct. To the extent of any inconsistencies between this paragraph and Section 3.2(b) of the Plan, this paragraph shall govern.

29. Notice. Notice of entry of this Confirmation Order and of the Effective Date of the Plan, substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit A (which notice is hereby approved in all respects), shall be, and hereby is, deemed sufficient (a) if served upon (i) all holders of remaining claims either listed on the Debtors' schedule of assets and liabilities or on the claims docket, (ii) all entities which are party to any litigation in which the Chapter 11 Trustee or the Creditors' Committee, as the case may be, are the plaintiff or defendant, (iii) all entities or individuals which are parties to executory contracts or unexpired leases with the Debtors, (iv) the taxing authorities in any jurisdiction where the Debtors transact business, (v) the Office of the United States Trustee, (vi) the Securities and Exchange Commission, (vii) the District Director of the Internal Revenue Service, (viii) the United States Attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania and (ix) any individual or entity filing a notice of appearance in the Chapter 11 Cases; and (b) if published once within twenty (20) days from the Effective Date in the national editions of The New York Times and The Wall Street Journal, The Pittsburgh Post-Gazette and in The Philadelphia Inquirer-Daily News.

JAN-31-2005 12:45

WD5

- Cancellation and Surrender of Existing Securities and Agreements. Except 30. as may otherwise be provided in the Plan, including without limitation, as provided in Sections 5.3(f) and 5.4(e) and (f) of the Plan, on the occurrence of the Effective Date and the making of the initial distribution to the holders of Secured and Unsecured Claims, the promissory notes, share certificates, bonds and other instruments evidencing Claims, except as set forth in Section 9.3 of the Plan, against the Debtors shall be canceled without further act or action by any Person under any applicable agreement, law, regulation, order or rule and the obligations of the Debtors under any promissory notes, share certificates, bonds and other instruments evidencing any Claim shall be deemed discharged and released.
- 31. Settlement with AHERF Lenders. This Order is premised upon the settlement embodied in the Stipulation. Except for the provisions of this paragraph which shall remain in full force and effect, this Order, and any provision hereof, shall not be effective or become final and enforceable, and the Effective Date shall not occur, unless and until the Settlement Order becomes a Final Order on or before December 26, 2000. In the event that the Settlement Order does not become a Final Order on or before December 26, 2000, (i) this Order, and each provision hereof, shall immediately become and be deemed null and void ab initio; (ii) the AHERF Lenders' Objection shall immediately be deemed reinstated; (iii) this Court shall schedule à hearing with respect to confirmation of the Plan, at which hearing all parties will be entitled to a full plenary hearing with respect to the AHERF Lenders' Objection, including, without limitation, their objection to substantive consolidation as provided in the Plan; and (Iv) any and all evidence or proof previously presented to the Court and any and all findings of fact and conclusions of law previously determined by the Court with respect to any of the issues

WDS

P.44

raised by the AHERF Lenders' Objection, including, without limitation, substantive consolidation under the Plan, shall be deemed withdrawn and shall be of no precedential or prejudicial effect as against the AHERF Lenders, and said withdrawal shall be of no precedential or prejudicial effect as against the Chapter 11 Trustee and the Creditors' Committee.

- 32. Effect of Reference to the Plan in this Confirmation Order. The failure to reference or discuss any particular provision of the Plan in this Confirmation Order shall have no effect on the validity, binding effect and enforceability of such provision, and each provision of the Plan shall have the same validity, binding effect and enforceability as if fully set forth in this Confirmation Order
- 33. Headings. Headings utilized herein are for the convenience of reference only, and shall not constitute a part of the Plan or this Confirmation Order for any other purpose.
- 34. Inconsistencies. In the event of any inconsistencies between the Plan or other agreement, instrument or document intended to implement the provisions of the Plan, the provisions of the Plan shall govern unless otherwise explicitly provided for in such agreements, instruments or documents. In the event of any inconsistency between the Plan and any agreement, instrument or document intended to implement the Plan and this Confirmation Order, the provisions of the Plan and any such agreement, instrument or document shall govern, unless otherwise expressly provided in this Confirmation Order.

WDS

P.45

35. <u>Integration of Confirmation Order Provisions</u>. Except as provided in paragraph 31 above, the provisions of this Confirmation Order are integrated with each other and are nonseverable and mutually dependent.

Dated: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania December 2000

> The Honorable M. Bruce McCallough United States Bankruptcy Court Judge

WDS

P.46

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re:

ALLEGHENY HEALTH, EDUCATION

AND RESEARCH FOUNDATION.

Case No. 98-25773

ALLEGHENY UNIVERSITY MEDICAL

PRACTICES

Case No. 98-25774

ALLEGHENY HOSPITALS,

CENTENNIAL

Case No. 98-25775

ALLEGHENY UNIVERSITY

HOSPITAL-EAST

Case No. 98-25776

ALLEGHENY UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES

Case No. 98-25777

Case Nos. 98-25773 MBM through

98-25777 MBM inclusive

Chapter 11

Consolidated for

Administration at 98-25773 MBM

NOTICE OF CONFIRMATION AND EFFECTIVENESS OF PLAN

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that on December ____, 2000, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania entered an order (the "Confirmation Order") confirming the Chapter 11 Trustee's Second Amended Consolidated Liquidating Plan of Reorganization dated December 5, 2000 (the "Plan") of the above-captioned debtors (collectively, the "Debtors").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that the Effective Date of the Plan, as defined therein, occurred on December ____, 2000.

5742/88148-003 NYLIB2/783082 v1

TAB 172

In The Matter Of:

AHERF v. PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS, LLP

ROBERT MCNAIR January 17, 2003

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. 420 Lexington Avenue - Suite 2108 New York, NY 10170 PH: 212-557-7400 / FAX: 212-692-9171

MCNAIR, ROBERT (1/17/2003)

Word Index included with this condensed transcript

	Page 58	Page 6
1	Q form of GHS hospitals? 10:13:27	l put New Jersey into its own entity. 10:15:42
2	A Basically most of that organizational chart 10:13:29	2 Q. Were there any other reasons that you're 10:15:45
3	we were looking at before would have been transferred 10:13:31	2
4	under SDN's control 10:13:34	4 structure? 10:15:46
5	Q. And at some point in October of '96 or so, 10:13:35	6 A Ottom
6	the proposed transaction changed? 10:13:38	10,13,30
7	A. Well, I think it actually started changing in 10:13:39	10,13,33
8	late August, because some of the finance people at 10:13:42	10.13.33
9	Allegheny got the idea that they can realize a gain 10:13:45	10:13:30
10	on – a loss on sale, excuse me, which was a Medicare 10:13:49	may be dead that reasons the 10:13:37
11		10:10:00
12		11 Q Do you recall there was some kind of a 10:16:02
		12 roadblock in negotiating termination of various 10:16:03
13	underdepreciated, if you will, relative to their 10:14:00	13 management agreements that HSI had with GHS and GHS 10:16:06
14	regular value 10:14:03	14 hospitals?
15	But the way the Medicare rules worked, you 10:14:05	15 A. Yes I recall some back and forth between 10:16:10
16	could not realize a gain on sale unless you did either 10:14:09	16 Mr. Abdelhak, Mr. Korman and Dr. Hasan about I 10:16:12
17	an asset sale or you did a transaction which was, for 10:14:13	17 can't recall the details, but there was a lot of 10:16:17
18	want of a better term. a fundamental change, like a 10:14:17	18 discussion and some posturing and, you know, calling 10:16:20
19	merger, consolidation 10:14:21	19 up and saying. This deal is dead and so forth and so 10:16:33
20	So the format of the arrangement changed 10:14:22	20 on Ultimately that was all worked out. In fact. I 10:16:33
21	and became a series of mergers, which was why Horizon 10:14:26	21 think the documents between HSI and Graduate are in 10:16:33
22	had to come into the picture, because the desire was 10:14:27	22 here, if I'm not mistaken. 10:16:33
23	to merge the New Jersey entities into a different 10:14:31	23 Q Do you recall if any kind of negotiation 10:16:33
24	entity than the Pennsylvania entities were being 10:14:35	24 difficulties between HSI and Allegheny held up the 10:16:37
25	merged, because the difference in timing, and also 10:14:37	25 deal from August '96 and necessitated a restructuring 10:16:39
	Page 59	Page 61
l	because it was just desired to have New Jersey 10:14:40	1 of the deal? 10:16:44
2	separate from Pennsylvania 10:14:44	2 A. No. I don't remember that as being a factor 10:16:45
3	Q Did the difference in timing have any 10:14:44	3 particularly. I remember there was a lot of back and 10:16:47
4	implications in terms of Medicare reimbursements? 10:14:46	4 forth, but I also remember that and I was not 10:16:49
5	A. The difference in timing? You mean between 10:14:50	5 present, but I heard that there had been some sort of 10:16:51
6	the Pennsylvania — 10:14:51	6 soft representations made about Allegheny taking on 10:16:55
7	Q. Between the Pennsylvania and New Jersey 10:14:53	7 certain QualMed had, which was in the picture here, 10:16:58
8	A Not that I'm aware of. 10:14:54	8 that's where Dr. Hasan was, had an enterprise that was 10:17:06
9	Q So I'm just trying to understand why Horizon 10:14:55	9 doing some kind of fancy data-related applications. 10:17:09
10	Medical Corporation was necessary, other than just 10:14:57	10 And I think Mr. Abdelhak made some commitments to them 10:17:14
11	trying to keep the - 10:15:00	11 about buying some of those applications and, in fact. 10:17:16
12	A. My best recollection is they wanted to keep 10:15:02	12 there were some papers actually entered into about 10:17:20
13	them separate, and, No. 2, we wanted to go ahead and 10:15:04	13 understandings in that regard. 10:17:22
14	do the Pennsylvania ones. Mr. Abdelhak was very eager 10:15:09	14 Q You mean like a computer program or 10:17:24
15	to get those done. We couldn't do the New Jersey ones 10:15:11	15 A Sort of. 10:17:25
16	at the same time. 10:15:13	16 Q technology? 10:17:26
17	But I think the real reason, if I recall 10:15:15	17 A. It was some kind of data platform that was 10:17:26
18	correctly, was that there was a problem with mixing 10:15:17	18 associated with Graduate. I never really sort of 10:17:29
19	bond indebtedness or various kinds of debt between the 10:15:20	19 understood the details of it. But there were some 10:17:31
20	two entities. In other words, had you tried to merge 10:15:23	20 undertakings made in connection with the HSI/Graduate 10:17:34
21	the New Jersey piece in with the Denneydynnin with 10.15.75	

21 $\,$ the New Jersey piece in with the Pennsylvania – with $\,$ 10:15:26 $\,$

22 the various Graduate Pennsylvania pieces, which were 10:15:31

23 part of a collective financing arrangement, it might 10:15:32

24 have triggered defaults in those debt instruments and 10:15:35

 25° so forth. So for a variety of reasons, we decided to $10{:}15{:}39$

23

21 understandings that, essentially, ameliorated the 10:17:41

I actually never knew what the final terms 10:17:49

24 of settlement between HSI and Graduate were. We had 10:17:50

25 kind of a three-way closing going on, but Mr. Korman 10:17:54

10:17:46

22 disputes between HSI and Graduate.

	NODEKI IVI.	1	
	Page 62		Page 64
1	was dealing on his own with the HSI people. 10:17:57	1	let's hope not 10:20:01
2	Q. Is the technology that you reference, is that 10:18:01	2	Q I'm talking about little computer-generated 10:20:04
3	the 4G technology? 10:18:02	3	footers, and let me find an example. 10:20:06
4	A. Yes. That's correct. Which had originated 10:18:04	4	A Yeah You'll see the DVR footers, which 10:20:09
5	at Graduate, but which had been sold off to QualMed as 10:18:07	5	are - identified the Delaware Valley region 10:20:13
6	part of the deal in which Greater Atlantic was sold 10:18:10	6	Q. If you turn to Page PH 7386, which is behind 10:20:16
7	Q. And under the revised transaction deal 10:18:14	7	Tab 5, or really it's right in front of Tab 6. 10:20:21
8	structure, was, essentially, the Graduate, former 10:18:19	8	A. Okay. Yes. 10:20:26
9	Graduate hospitals, were they going to merge into SDN? 10:18:25	9	Q Do you see how it says RMM - 10:20:35
10	A. That's my recollection, yes. 10:18:30	10	A Yes. That's clearly me. That's correct. 10:20:37
11	Q. And then I guess the hospital entity, 10:18:30	11	Q. So anywhere we see a footer like that, that 10:20:39
12	Zurbrugg Memorial Hospital, was going to merge into 10:18:35	12	means that you created that document? 10:20:41
13	Horizon Medical Corporation? 10:18:38	13	A Presumptively, yeah I mean, if you want to 10:20:42
14	A. That's correct That's correct 10:18:42	14	ask me about specific ones. I would assume nobody 10:20:46
15	Q. And was SDN also going to acquire various 10:18:42	15	else would use that, but as I say, given what I've 10:20:47
16	other former Graduate Health System entities? 10:18:43	16	learned since then, I wouldn't put anything past 10:20:51
17	A. Yeah I think it took over most, if not all, 10:18:46	17	anyone 10:20:58
18	of those entities that were reflected on that 10:18:47	18	Q. And at this time, were you assistant 10:21:00
19	organizational chart. I couldn't tell you with 10:18:50	19	secretary of SDN? 10:21:02
20	absolute precision that they were all there, but many 10:18:54	20	A. Yes, I was 10:21:05
21	of them were. 10:18:54	21	Q. Do you recall when you first became assistant 10:21:06
22	Q. And were those acquisitions effectuated by a 10:18:54	22	secretary of SDN? 10:21:08
23	merger or were they more like a stock transaction? 10:18:55	23	A. I can't say that with great certainty. I 10:21:11
24	A. No. They kind of came along because we had 10:18:59	24	will tell you that SDN was the remnant of United 10:21:14
25	taken over the entities which ultimately - which 10:19:00	25	hospitals. In fact, it was the old United hospitals 10:21:26
1			
	Page 63		Page 65
1	owned the stock. Over time, after the transactions 10:19:04	1	entity. which Mr. Abdelhak had not wanted to bring 10:21:26
2	were done, we merged many of them out of existence, as 10:19:05	2	into the Allegheny system, so he had sort of told me 10:21:26
3	I recall, and kind of tried to clean up the 10:19:09	3	back in the summer of '91 to. quote, make it go away, 10:21:26
4	organizational chart, but that was not done at that 10:19:12	4	but not make it go away in the sense of having the 10:21:30
5	time. 10:19:14	5	corporation disappear. He just didn't want he 10:21:33
6	Q. Did you draft many of the documents in 10:19:15	6	wanted it to kind of be sitting out there, which it 10:21:34
7	Exhibit No. 988? 10:19:18	7	did. 10:21:37
8	A. I did 10:19:19	8	The SDN initials obviously stand for 10:21:37
9	Q And did you - to the extent certain 10:19:20	9	Sherif, David and Nancy, who were the three directors. 10:21:41
10	documents in here were filed with governmental 10:19:24	10	I cannot tell you with certainly when I became an 10:21:44
11	agencies, were you in charge of filing them? 10:19:25	11	assistant secretary, but, as best I recall, I was 10:21:45
12	A. I prepared them for filing. They were 10:19:28	12	Q. Was it before the GHS acquisition in '96? 10:21:50
13	actually filed, as I recall, by our senior paralegal 10:19:29	13	A To the best of my recollection, yes 10:21:53
14	from Pittsburgh, Kathleen Saunders, who actually flew 10:19:32	14	Q Was Donald Kaye also one of the directors of 10:21:56
15	in to Philadelphia one day and picked up the documents 10:19:36	15	SDN? 10:21:59
16	and took them to Pittsburgh and filed them. But they 10:19:40	16	A As I recall, yes, he was 10:22:00
17	were prepared for filing by our office, yes 10:19:40	17	Q And just to clarify, on PH 7414 - 10:22:04
18	Q. I notice on certain documents that there's a 10:19:42	18	A Which tab? 10:22:08
19	little footer that says RMM? 10:19:44	19	Q. Which is right in front of Tab 12. 10:22:09
20	A. That would be me. 10:19:47	20	A. Yes 10:22:18
21	Q. Anywhere we see that footer, does that mean 10:19:50	21	Q. Do you see there's a different kind of footer 10:22:18
22	that document was at least initially prepared by you? 10:19:52	22	that says RMM:SSA? Do you know what that means? 10:22:20
23	A. Probably. If you want to call my attention 10:19:54	23	A. Yeah What this means is, this was typed by 10:22:25
24	to specific ones. I wouldn't be surprised if somebody 10:19:56	24	Beth Boyer, who was Mr. Abdelhak's assistant, and it 10:22:28
25	put my initials on something that I prepared, but 10:19:59	2.5	would have been something that I gave her to type, 10:22:32
1		1	

Page 66 which had been - as I recall, this actually had gone 10:22:39 on in a negotiation between Mr. Abdelhak and 10:22:43 Mr. Korman personally And I walked out of that 10:22:47 negotiation, handed her this and said, Type this, 10:22:51 which is why she put my initials on it. 10:22:53 6 Q. So wherever we see the RMM footer, does that 10:23:00 mean that you likely had some kind of involvement with 10:23:03 7 8 the drafting of the document? 10:23:06 A. Very probably. Again, it's obviously subject 10:23:07 10 to review of the particular document, but those are 10:23:10 obviously my initials, yes 11 10:23:12 12 Q Do you recall that Allegheny had to pay money 10:23:13 in order to get out of the - or to facilitate GHS 10:23:17 13 getting out of the management agreement it had with 10:23:21 15 10:23:23 16 A I recall that vaguely. As I say, I remember 10:23:25 there were understandings between QualMed, which 17 basically was controlling HSI at this point, Graduate 10:23:31 and Allegheny. That's where the G4 conversations came 10:23:34 up. And as I recall, yes, there was some money that 10:23:38 changed hands. I couldn't tell you the precise amount 10:23:41 21 22 of them. 10:23:43 Q. And that was money that was paid to HSI in 10:23:43 24 order to induce HSI to terminate the management 10:23:45 25 agreement?

```
Page 68
       various documents that are contained in Exhibit-988? 10:40:10
         A. Well, as I said, there was some back and
      forth between QualMed and Allegheny and HSI about
                                                              10:40:18
      getting out of the management agreement. There were 10:40:22
      issues in the original negotiations about assuming
      obligations with respect to some of the senior
     managers from Graduate, most notably Mr. Cramer and
     Mr. Mathews and Mr. Huber, who, interestingly enough, 10:40:35
     chose not to work for Allegheny Mr Mathews did and 10:40:40
     Mr. Cramer got his contract paid off, as best I
 10
                                                       10:40:42
11
     recall.
12
            Probably the biggest issue in the original 10:40:47
    negotiations was the amount of cash that was going to 10:40:50
    be forthcoming from Graduate to Allegheny There was 10:40:52
15
    a lot of back and forth on that
                                                10:40:56
16
            I did not participate directly in the
                                                10:40:57
17
   business discussions between the business people.
18
   They were basically -- I was basically sitting there 10:41:01
   scrivening and communicating with the lawyer on the 10:41:04
   other side. Mr. Comblatt. And then when we got down 10:41:06
   to the revisions in the arrangements, there were some 10:41:12
   discussions which I think were reflected in the
   letters that went back and forth between Mr. Abdelhak 10:41:22
```

and Mr. Korman, which I think related to the change in 10:41:24

the format of the transaction and Mr. Korman's

Page 67

	.
1	A. Correct. And if I recall correctly, 10:23:49
2	10:23:50
3	it, but I believe Mr. Korman may have made some 10:23:54
4	payments to them too or liquidated a note or done 10:23:56
5	something that was some consideration on his part for 10:23:58
6	doing the deal as well. 10:24:02
7	Q. Okay. Why don't we just set this document 10:24:03
8	aside. We might refer back to it later. 10:24:09
9	A Okay. 10:24:11
10	MR. COGAN: Would this be a good time to 10:24:11
11	take a break. We've been going for an hour and a 10:24:12
12	half. 10:24:15
13	MR. TERUYA: Sure. Why don't we take a 10:24:15
14	quick break. 10:24:18
15	THE WITNESS: Not a problem
16	VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are now going off 10:24:19
17	the videotape record. The time, 10:30. 10:24:20
18	(Short recess.) 10:39:50
19	VIDEO IECHNICIAN: Back on The time, 10:39:54
20	10:46. 10:39:55
21	BY MR. TERUYA:
22	Q. Just reference back to Exhibit-988, but I 10:39:59
23	don't think you can look at it if you need to, but 10:39:39
24	I just was going to ask, do you recall any sort of hot 10:40:03
25	issues that come and in the state of the sta
	resuces that came up in negotiating and drafting the 10:40:07

	Page 69
1	concerns about what be a contract
2	that might expose him to December 1
3	issues that came up, particularly with respect to the 10:41:36
4	DONG financings with dains at
5	merger rather than an an annual and
6	O And do you recall if you and it is a sumption of membership 10:41:47
7	Q And do you recall if any of the liabilities 10:41:49
8	that you're mentioning with respect to bond financing 10:41:51 ever came to be?
9	10:41:54
10	A I don't know as a matter of personal 10:41:56
11	knowledge. Obviously the bonds went into default. I. 10:41:58
	know enough to know there was a special sort of class 10:42:03
12	of the Graduate bond holders in the general 10:42:06
13	transaction and that unlike the Allegheny bonds, which 10:42:08
14	were insured, the Graduate bonds were not insured, so 10-42-12
15	there was a tremendous amount of back and forth. 10:42:16
16	I do know, as I think I've mentioned 10:42:18
17	earlier, that there were some claims against 10:42:21
18	Mr Korman lodged by I only know this from reading 10:42:23
19	the newspapers, by the way ~ claiming that they had 10:42:26
20	Offer misled and that them to be to the control of
21	guarantees, cross guarantees promised, and that monies 10:42:34
22	ilad been taken out, which were to the
23	holders! manifes
24	IV:42:41
25	And I know that those matters settled, and 10:42:42
23	as I recall, they settled for a payment of 4 or 5 10:42:46

23

Page 128 Page 126 1 was, drafting things, putting them in front of people, 12:09:10 12:06:35 McConnell and Abdelhak on our side they'd say, No, that's not the deal, or we've changed 12:09:10 Q How about HSI; anyone on their behalf? 12:06:39 A. Again Korman, again Abdelhak. I don't think 12:06:41 this, or whatever it might be. That sounds like a 12:09:10 peculiar way for lawyers to operate, I understand, but 12:09:10 McConnell was as heavily involved in that one. 12:06:45 the way that Allegheny did business was, they didn't 12:09:12 McConnell was involved in the - at the early stages 12:06:47 always involve the lawyers in lots of things that were 12:09:14 of the Graduate transaction When we got down to some 12:06:50 of the iterations in September and October when the 12:06:53 going on. I think I understand why now, and perhaps 1 12:09:17 should have suspected why then, but transaction was being sort of modified. Abdelhak took 12:06:56 Q. Was Foley & Lardner involved in negotiating 12:09:23 12:09:26 And see, I didn't to be perfectly candid 12:07:03 the business terms at all? 10 with you. I do In't even know during the June. July 12:07:06 A. Not to the best of my knowledge. I mean, you 12:09:26 11 know, there undoubtedly were some discussions with 12:09:31 period how much Sherif was involved and how much David 12:07:08 12 Bob, only because they wanted to make sure that there 12:09:34 was involved. I was talking to David. but it may very 12:07:11 was no bond problem, but, I mean, I don't think he was 12:09:36 well have been that Sherif was right there on the 12:07:14 phone the sam : as everybody else. 15 actively involved in negotiating. 12:07:18 I mean, their attitude about lawyers, just 12:09:40 Q Were three any HSI folks involved? 16 as an aside, was. We'll make the deal and tell you 12:09:42 A. Yeah Well. Malik Hasan, who was the --12:07:20 17 what the deal is. You know, in fact, Abdelhak told me 12:09:46 well, no. It was not - it would not have been HS1. 12:07:25 HSI at this point was owned by QualMed. The head of 12:07:28 early in my tenure there, I don't take business advice 12:09:50 QualMed at that time was a fellow named Malik Hasan. 12:07:31 20 from my lawyers. All right. Fine. Q. And that was even, like, from the day you set 12:09:55 who was a physician. They were in Pueblo. Colorado. 12:07:34 21 12:09:58 And he was intimately involved in the discussions I 12:07:36 22 foot at Allegheny? A. It was early on. I can't say that it was the 12:09:59 think there were a couple of other senior executives 12:07:38 12:07:40 24 day, but it was certainly within a short period of 12:10:01 12:07:41 25 time 25 I dealt with a couple of their inside

Page	127
------	-----

	Page 127
i	counsel, whoever their general counsel was and an 12:07:45
2	assistant GC, whose name was Mike something or other. 12:07:50
3	who was a skadnelon (ph), if I recall correctly. I 12:07:54
4	couldn't - I mean, if you had put their names in 12:07:57
5	front of me. I might remember them. 12:08:00
6	But there were some discussions there and 12:08:01
7	there was a little bit of posturing, as I said 12:08:03
8	earlier, and some back and forth, but that was - 12:08:05
9	those were the primary people. And there was a 12:08:08
10	certain amount going on. In fact, there was a lot 12:08:10
11	going on, I later think I determined that was 12:08:12
12	completely beyond my purview, which was Korman's 12:08:16
13	discussions with Hasan and QualMed about the terms of 12:08:19
14	GHS's involvement in HSI and the HSI Management 12:08:24
15	contract going on 12:08:30
16	Q Were you involved in or were you privy to 12:08:31
17	these discussions? Not just the HSI ones, but I mean 12:08:34
18	just the general discussions or negotiations relating 12:08:37
19	to the business terms 12:08:40
20	A. Some, I mean, I was there for a couple of 12:08:41
21	them when Mr. Korman and Mr. Abdelhak were talking 12:08:43
22	about very specific things. Early on I was not there. 12:08:46
23	I mean, when we were doing the deal in June and July, 12:08:52
24	I was basically sitting at the end of a telephone, 12:08:55

25 getting drafts of stuff or being told what the deal

Page 129

l	Q So that would have been true with respect to, 12:10:06
2	what, the Hahnemann acquisition, for example, and the 12:10:08
3	United acquisition? 12:10:10
4	A. Yeah. I mean, we did them pretty much - 12:10:11
5	well. I was outside at the time - 12:10:13
6	Q You were at DVR? 12:10:15
7	A of the deal.
8	And, in fact, there was a complication 12:10:17
9	with the United transaction because he wanted this to 12:10:19
10	be sort of ultra hush-hush. So he actually conducted 12:10:21
11	the negotiations in the United transaction in our 12:10:26
12	offices, in Drinker's offices in Philadelphia, and he 12:10:29
13	and Myles Turtz met there. And since they were there, 12:10:33
14	I was with them. Not all the negotiations. Like the 12:10:36
15	payoffs of the senior executives which went on 12:10:39
16	But this was a pretty common format. I 12:10:43
17	mean, they would go off, talk to the business guys on 12:10:46
18	the other side, come back and say, Here's the deal, 12:10:50
19	write it up. And you'd say, Well, what about this, 12:10:52
20	what about that, what about the other thing, and 12:10:53
21	they'd - you know, you'd kind of go back and forth. 12:10:55
22	It was not a very efficient way of doing things, but, 12:10:56
23	you know, you play on the field that the client sets 12:11:00
24	for you. 12:11:04

Q. During negotiating these acquisitions, did 12:11:04

Page 132 Page 130 Abdelhak consult with - Mr. Abdelhak consult with the 12:11:07 A. I think he mentioned Mr. Gumberg's name. I'd 12:13:26 Board of Directors of AHERF? have to go back and look at the list. There were 2 12:11:12 three or four key people out there who he sort of ran 12:13:33 A. I think he was consulting with individual 3 members of the Board. I mean, he was relatively 4 things by 12:13:37 punctilious about going and getting approval from at 12:11:17 5 I'm trying to remember who was on the 12:13:37 5 6 executive committee I think Mr. Gumberg was. I least some group of the Board, whether it be the think Mr. -- maybe Mr. Edelman, although possibly not 12:13:48 executive committee or the full Board. I mean, that's 12:11:22 because he and Sherif were not big friends. Maybe 12:11:23 8 certainly the case I know that, for example, in the Graduate 12:11:25 Mr. Nimick. Those were sort of the key people And I will say this: I mean, all of the 12:14:01 10 transaction, he represented to me - now, whether he 12:11:26 10 did it or not, I wasn't on the phone -- that he had 12:11:28 11 key people he would have talked to would have been in 12:14:03 11 talked to some of the key senior members of the Board, 12:11:31 Pittsburgh, notwithstanding the fact this was a 12 like Mr. Snyder and Mr. Barnes, who was the chairman 12:11:34 Philadelphia transaction and notwithstanding the fact 12:14:10 13 13 that a Philadelphia trustee might have been more of the finance committee, and people like that. But, 12:11:37 14 12:14:12 I mean, whether he actually did or not, I can't tell 12:11:39 15 conscious of some of those issues you raised earlier 12:14:14 15 12:11:41 about Graduate's standing in the community and 16 Q. Do you know when that would have been that he 12:11:42 17 financial status 17 Q. We might return to that in a second. 12:14:22 18 18 was talking with them? 12:11:44 A I think he was talking with them as the 19 And this is all taking place in July of 12:14:24 transaction approached culmination, which would have 12:11:47 20 '96? 20 12:14:27 been late, late July, you know, within - I'd say 21 A. Yes; July and very early August. If I looked 12:14:30 12:11:51 21 within a week, ten days of when we were actually 22 at a calendar. I can tell you precisely - close to 12:14:34 22 concluding the thing. Maybe even closer in it. 12:11:59 23 the dates 12:14:38 23 I know one reason why he - first of all. 12:12:03 24 The Graduate transaction was approved on 12:14:40 24 he would never have done anything without letting Mr. 12:12:08 the first Monday in August of 1996. I remember they 12:14:43 Page 131 Page 133 had a - they had had a sort of informal Board meeting 12:14:47 Snyder know about it anyway 12:12:10 1 the Sunday before. And Monday afternoon of that week 12:14:52 2 But, second, and I recall this very 12:12:11 we went over to the church, and their Board was 12:14:57 3 distinctly, because Wynstra was actually in Scotland 12:12:13 meeting there. As I recall, it was me, Sherif, Don 12:15:03 the day that the deal got done. They went off for a 12:12:16 Kaye and McConnell. And we went in and they 12:15:07 5 junket. He and Mr. Snyder and - Nancy, Mr. Snyder 12:12:21 introduced us, and they had a sort of -- they had and Mr. Abdelhak went off to the northern -12:12:26 northwestern coast of Scotland for a week and a half 12:12:31 formally approved the transaction at this point. And 12:15:14 7 and they stayed at some castle up there that Andrew 12:12:34 8 Sherif made a little speech about what his hopes were 12:15:17 Carnegie used to own, the name of which escapes me 12:12:37 9 12:15:20 and introduced us and so forth. And then we went back to Hahnemann, and 10 And they were there purportedly in their role as the 12:12:41 10 risk retention committee of the malpractice captive. 12:12:47 McConnell and Sherif and Wynstra had a conference Well, what they were doing was, they were having a telephone call. And that's the reason I remember why 12:15:31 12 Sherif was in Scotland, because in their capacity as 12:15:35 vacation, only they didn't charge themselves for it. 12:12:53 13 the Board of SDN to approve the other side of the But the reason - I come back to the 14 original question. He wouldn't have dared to go to transaction 12:15:40 15 Scotland with Mr. Snyder for ten days had he not 16 Q. Do you remember, did any of the AHERF Board 12:15:40 approve the transaction at that point? talked to him about this deal, which went down about 12:13:04 A. I was told that the members of the executive 12:15:45 18 15 minutes before they all went over there together. 12:13:05 committee had approved the transaction, and he - now, 12:15:48 And he was very careful also, by the way, 12:13:07 19 whether he convened them in a group or not or whether 12:15:53 about involving the general counsel. I mean, she was 12:13:10

unwind a little bit.

that he may have talked with?

21

22

at the table a lot, particularly as things began to 12:13:15

there any other Board members that you can think of 12:13:23

O. Other than Mr. Barnes and Mr. Snyder, are

21

22 know

23

12:13:20

12:13:25

he just did it individually by polling them, I don't 12:15:55

I will tell you that there was later in 12:15:59

the fall a bit of a dust-up about that, because he had 12:16:02
a Board meeting, and which I happened to be present 12:16:06

Page 134 Page 136 1 at, which was not the normal style. He didn't like 12:16:09 on behalf of the Board, because the question had come 12:18:15 the lawyers at the Board meetings, where there was up before. And I had not sat in those meetings. I 12:18:16 3 something of a controversy about the fact he had not 12:16:14 didn't know who had authorized whom to do what, but 12:18:20 gone to the full Board. And he said - and there was 12:16:16 she told me that and I pretty much was forced to take 12:18:22 truth to this. He said, Korman was leaving for Europe 12:16:20 her word for it. 12:18:24 for two weeks and there simply wasn't - and he was. 12:16:23 Q. Do you know if that happened with respect to 12:18:26 I mean, that was what he told Sherif anyway. And 12:16:27 the GHS acquisition, that the executive committee there simply wasn't time to convene the full Board, we 12:16:29 irrevocably approved the deal back in August '96? had to get this done quickly And that was what I was 12:16:32 A. He told me that it had been approved by the 12:18:44 told. And I was told that the executive committee had 12:16:34 executive committee. approved it, and I had the choice of either telling 12:16:36 11 Q. Do you know if it had been irrevocably 12:18:44 11 him it was a lie and leaving or taking his word for 12:16:39 12 12:18:44 12 approved? 13 it. 12:16:42 13 A. I can't tell you that that word was used... 14 Q. Had you, back in July and August of '96, 12:16:42 Q. Had there; been a - you've mentioned there 12:18:44 15 advised Sherif Abdelhak or anyone else that they 12:16:46 was sort of a past practice of the executive committee 12:18:44 should be consulting with the Board of AHERF, the full 12:16:51 acting on behalf of the full Board with respect to 17 Board? 12:16:54 acquisitions in the past? 12:18:49 18 A. They knew perfectly well they should be 12:16:54 A. No, no. Transactions There had been other 12:18:50 19 consulting with the Board. We told them that any 12:16:56 circumstances that I was aware of, and as I say, I number of times. 12:16:59 can't cite them to you chapter and verse, but it had 12:18:55 20 Q. Were you troubled by the fact that there was 12:17:00 21 been made clear to me by the general counsel that if 12:18:58 22 this dust-up? 12:17:02 the executive committee approves something in a A. I would have preferred him to convene the circumstance where there was a legitimate reason for 12:19:03 24 Board and so forth. But, I mean, he had a lot of having to do it quickly or because it, for whatever 12:19:06 authority, and the executive committee had a lot of 12:17:08 reason, couldn't seek full Board approval, that that 12:19:08 Page 135 Page 137 authority, and he -- and he -- yeah. I mean, it would 12:17:09 was acceptable, that was acceptable format. 12:19:11 have been far better if the full Board had approved 12:17:18 Q. Do you know any examples of acquisitions 12:19:12 it. I mean, that just goes without saying. where that had taken place? Q. Did you think it was improper that he was A. No. In fact - well, let me go back for a 12:19:15 going to the executive committee rather than the full 12:17:23 5 S minute 12:19:20 Board? 12:17:26 6 No In point of fact - well, I mean, the 12:19:21 6 A. No, because there had been other 12:17:27 question is at what point. I mean, in other words, 12:19:24 circumstances, and I can't remember the details, but 12:17:29 before he ever went to the Board of Directors. he 9 where he had gone to the executive committee. 12:17:31 would have had the approval of the, quote, executive 12:19:34 10 I mean, these were people who had been 12:17:33 committee or the members of the executive committee, 12:19:38 empowered to do certain things on behalf of the Board 12:17:35 because he would want to -- he wasn't given to going 12:19:41 12 of Directors. And let's remember, by the way, that 12:17:37 and getting himself beaten up. nothing had actually happened when this was brought to 12:17:39 13 My recollection of the United deal, which 12:19:45 the Board's attention. Had they wanted to say, No, 12:17:45 I only heard about, I was not a party to, was that it 12:19:48 we're not going to do this, it would have been was approved by the Board. I know that the Hahnemann 12:19:52 12:17:51 transaction was approved by the Board, because they

perfectly within their legal capability to do that. We're talking about how much? Almost three months 12:17:53 17 later when the transaction finally happened. 19 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about 12:18:02 whether the executive committee could irrevocably 12:18:04 20 approve a transaction -12:18:07 12:18:09

A I had been told --22 12:18:09 23 O -- on its own?

A. - previously by the general counsel that the 12:18:11 25 executive committee had the plenary authority to act 12:18:12 of the word "irrevocable," and I'm not aware that it 12:20:21 was - I mean, let's put it this way: These were

actually sent me to Pittsburgh because they wanted

somebody out there who knew about the transaction,

because everybody else was in Philadelphia meeting

So the answer is, no, I'm not sure it

happened previously in the context of an acquisition 12:20:14

transaction. But as I say, I don't remember the use 12:20:16

with the Hahnemann Board. So I was out there.

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

12:20:02

12:20:04

12:20:06 12:20:08

20

21

Page 138 relatively prudent people I mean, some of them had 12:20:27 secure approval from the full group for. And I've 12:22:50 seen that in a number of different settings. AHERF been in fairly responsible positions. I mean, David 12:20:30 Barnes had been chairman of the Board of Mellon Bank, 12:20:34 was certainly not unique in that regard which is not exactly small change, although he hadn't 12:20:36 Q. And did you perceive there to be a legitimate 12:23:00 done a very good job of it. need at the time to go to the executive committee such 12:23:02 Cahouet may have been on that executive 12:20:43 that it was appropriate to do so rather than going to 12:23:04 6 committee too, Frank Cahouet, who was Barnes' 12:23:06 7 12:20:46 the full Board? 8 successor as chairman of Mellon Bank. 12:20:49 A. He wanted to do the transaction quickly. I 12:23:10 If he had proposed to them that they, mean, that was his call. I mean, once you accepted 12:23:12 that - and this was not an uncommon methodology for 12:23:16 10 quote, irrevocably approved something, I would think 12:20:54 11 that would have triggered some questions 12:20:58 him, by the way. I mean, we had done the United Q. But you don't know whether or not they did or 12:21:02 transaction in 14 days or something back in 1990 and 12:23:22 12:23:31 13 didn't irrevocably approve the transaction? 12:21:04 13 If you accepted that premise, I mean, it 12:23:31 14 A. No, I don't know that. 12:21:08 14 15 Q. And did you think there were legitimate 12:21:09 15 would not -- it literally would not have been possible 12:23:31 reasons with respect to the GHS acquisition to go to 12:21:12 to convene that Board within less than a few weeks. I 12:23:33 17 the executive committee rather than the full Board in 12:21:16 mean, they were pretty punctilious about their August of '96? 12:21:20 12:23:40 18 scheduling and so forth and so on. 18 12:23:42 19 A. Well, as I told you --12:21:21 19 Q. So at the time, you didn't see anything 20 O. I know you mentioned one 12:21:22 20 inappropriate about it or --12:23:43 A. He told me the executive committee had 12:23:45 21 A. - what was true - well, that was his 21 presumptive reason for doing it. He said, We've got 12:21:26 12:23:46 22 approved it. I mean --12:23:47 23 to get this done, Korman is leaving, we can't do it 12:21:28 23 Q I guess I'm referring to, you didn't see without Korman's approval. Korman will not move 24 anything improper at the time about him going to the 12:23:49 forward unless we have some kind of indication from 12:21:35 executive committee rather than going to the full

Page 139

our executive committee that they're willing to commit 12:21:38 to this transaction. That was the story. Now, whether it was a true story or not a true story, for 12:21:44 all I know, he may have sent Korman to Europe. But that was the approach, and it was the 12:21:50 5 approach that he raised in front of the Board when 12:21:53 6 this controversy occurred in, I think it was, 12:21:57 September. And, I mean, there certainly was the 12:22:00 opportunity at that point for people to say, We're not 12:22:04 going to do this. 10 Now, this was, admittedly, a subsidiary 12:22:07 11 Board. This was not the AHERF Board. This was the 12:22:08 13 Allegheny Hospital's eastern region, or whatever they 12:22:11 were calling the thing at that point, Board. 14 15 But everybody stepped to the line and the 12:22:19 12:22:22 16 transaction got done. Q. But, I mean, the executive committee you're 12:22:28 17 18 referring to is a subcommittee of the main AHERF 12:22:29 12:22:32 19 Board; is that right? A. Yeah, exactly. It was comprised - which was 12:22:34 20 21 not an uncommon methodology, as you're aware of. But, 12:22:36 22 I mean, generally the reason you have an executive 12:22:38 23 committee in place is precisely to deal with sensitive 12:22:40 issues or time-sensitive issues or things that you 12:22:42 don't want - that you either can't or don't want to 12:22:45

Page 141

Page 140

1	Board? 12:23:54
2	A I had been apprised that the executive 12:23:55
3	committee had the authority to act on behalf of the 12:23:57
4	Board. The general counsel told me that. And he was 12:23:59
5	the CEO. If they didn't want him to go quickly, they 12:24:03
6	shouldn't have given him the approval. 12:24:08
7	But he told me he had the approval, and 12:24:10
8	I - let's put it this way: The general counsel was 12:24:11
9	in the middle of this transaction too. In fact, she 12:24:14
10	was one of the three people who approved the 12:24:18
11	transaction on behalf of Allegheny, quote/unquote, 12:24:19
12	SDN And he made the representation to her on the 12:24:24
13	phone, I can remember pretty distinctly, that the 12:24:28
14	executive committee had approved the transaction, and 12:24:31
15	I didn't hear a whimper or protest at that point from 12:24:34
16	her. 12:24:39
17	Given that circumstance and given the fact 12:24:39
18	that she was the senior legal officer, I - I mean, 12:24:42
19	had she said at that point, Well, we can't just do 12:24:44
20	this in front of the executive committee, that's a 12:24:46
21	perfectly legitimate point of view. But it was her 12:24:50
22	call as to how the approval got done 12:24:53
23	Q. So at that point, you didn't really have any 12:24:55
24	concerns about 12:24:57
25	A It's not a matter of not having concerns

*

Page 302 Q. So you could still get things done because of 16:11:53 her hands-off policy? 2 A Yeah Right I mean she -- there were 16:11:57 3 times when you couldn't. I mean, it really depended 16:11:58 on the nature of the matter, but sometimes you'd just 16:12:00 take things into your own hands and just go ahead and 16:12:03 do them, because you had to. I mean, they would go on 16:12:05 for weeks and months and so forth. And, I mean, she 16:12:08 was traveling all the time 9 Q. Did you raise any concerns about her travel 16:12:11 10 and failure to make certain decisions with anyone? 16:12:14 11 12 A. That's an interesting story. I was told, by 16:12:20 the way, that McConnell at one point performed an 13 audit of her traveling and found out how many days she 16:12:24 14 16:12:26 was out of the office And I never saw it 15 Abdelhak was very precise about the fact 16:12:29 16 he didn't want people circumventing the command 17 structure. In other words, if I had a problem, I had. 16:12:45 18 to go to her. 16:12:45 19 The guy who had been the Hahnemann 16:12:45 20 counsel. Bill Kennedy, egged on by Dave McConnell and 16:12:45 21 by Tony Sanzo, at the time was the CEO of Allegheny 16:12:48 22 General Hospital, actually at one point in the summer 16:12:53 23 of '97 -- summer of '97 I'm pretty sure. maybe it was 16:12:55 early in '97 - actually confronted Abdelhak and said, 16:13:02

like with this business with Chuck Morrison and the 16:14:15 restricted funds and drafting this resolution. But it 16:14:17 would have gone ignored, and who knows, they might 16:14:21 3 have sued me for slander, for all I know. I mean, 16:14:24 s they just were not having any of it. 16:14:26 It was a single-person-controlled 16:14:29 organization, and that extended to the Board as well 16:14:35 8 as to the staff. I mean, it may sound cowardly to say 16:14:37 9 it, but that's just the reality of what it was. 10 In a situation like that, you say to 16:14:47 11 yourself, Well, do I want to do what Kennedy did and 16:14:49 get tossed out of here, or do I want to keep trying to 16:14:53 12 13 do the job here, keep things on track, try and make 16:14:57 sure that things are done properly? Because I knew if 16:15:01 15 Nancy was in charge other than day to day, there 16:15:07 16 wouldn't be, and so forth. I mean, you have conversations with 17 16:15:08 18 yourself about things like that a lot. It's not the 16:15:09 19 easiest thing in the world. Q Do you know if anyone ever tried to write a 16:15:14 20 letter to the Board or have you ever heard that anyone 16:15:15 21 22 16:15:19 A. No. I'm not aware of it. I'm not aware of 16:15:19 23 it. And I'm sure everybody else's perception was the 16:15:22 24 same as mine

Page 304

Page 303

16:13:07 Wynstra is not doing her job. She's not paying attention. She's never here, da-da, da-da, da-da, 16:13:10 da-da, da-da And Abdelhak just tore him apart and said, How dare you come in here and do this and so 16:13:18 forth and so on. And of course, the end result was, 16:13:20 the guy left. But he left with a termination payment 16:13:23 before the preference period, so there's something to 16:13:26 be said for it. Anyway... No. So, I mean, I think he was conscious 16:13:31 9 of her deficiencies. I think he probably kept her in 16:13:34 10 the job because of her deficiencies. You know, 16:13:36 11 looking back, I don't think he wanted anybody who was 16:13:38 paying a lot of attention to what was going on. 16:13:41 13 Q. Did you ever think to raise any concerns with 16:13:43 14 16:13:46 anyone outside of the chain of command? 15 A. Who was I supposed to do it with? 16:13:49 16 Q. Write a letter to a Board member or anything 16:13:51 17 16:13:53 18 like that? A. That would have been fruitless. I mean, you 16:13:54 19 have to understand the way the organization worked. 1 16:13:57 20 mean, they reposed complete confidence in Sherif 21 Abdelhak. And if you had done that, not only would it 16:14:04 22 have resulted in my instant dismissal, which would 23 have been what it was - and, I mean, there were times 16:14:11

when I did things that I thought might get me fired, 16:14:13

Page 305

1 I mean, the simple reality of the matter 16:15:26 was that the chairman of the Board had, up until he 16:15:28 fired him, he had the complete unequivocal, 16:15:31 unconditional support of the chairman of the Board and 16:15:35 5 of that cluster of people in that senior group, that 16:15:39 executive committee group. And I think he devoted a 16:15:42 lot of time to making sure that that was the case. 16:15:45 Q. How about any of the Board members outside of 16:15:49 that cluster? 16:15:52 10 A. What's that? 16:15:53 Q. In other words, any of the other AHERF parent 16:15:54 17 Board members. Did anyone --16:15:56 16:15:59 13 A. I have no idea 14 Q. Did you ever think ---16:16:00 15 A. I mean, I - no. Nobody - you just have to 16:16:01 understand, I mean, nothing was going to happen. They 16:16:05 had plenty of opportunity to raise issues. By the 16:16:12 17 middle of - early to middle of '98, there were plenty 16:16:16 of warning signs out there that things were not going 16:16:19 19 well. And my personal view is that they just said, 16:16:21 We're not going to do anything here, because if we do 16:16:27 anything, it will show that we knew what was going on, 16:16:29 and we could blame the auditors, just between you and 16:16:31 me. Now, I realize that's your client, but I'm 16:16:35

telling you what my view is. We'll hide behind the 16:16:38

	ROBERT WILL	14.04.14.14.14.19.14.1
	Page 306	- Page 308
i	financials and say everything was fine and so forth 16:16:40	l MR. COGAN: Objection. 16:18:46
2	Q So in your view, the Board basically wanted 16:16:43	2 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I'm qualified 16:18:48
3	to make like an ostrich and just stick their head in 16:16:45	3 to saying that. People's motivations are pretty hard 16:18:49
4	the sand? 16:16:48	4 to ferret out sometimes I mean, you know. I've seen 16:18:52
5	A That seems to me like what they were doing 16:16:48	5 people do a lot of selfish things in their life. 1 16:18:55
6	MR COGAN: Objection 16:16:50	6 don't know anybody who acted out — who did that, but 16:18:57
7	THE WITNESS: Never mind. Sorry 16:16:51	7 I can't say for sure that there wasn't somebody. 16:18:59
8	BY MR. TERUYA:	8 BY MR. TERUYA: 16:19:06
9	Q. I just got a few wrap-up questions 16:16:56	9 Q Okay.
10	A. I mean. I don't know that to a moral 16:16:59	10 A. I can say I didn't.
11	certainty. I'm just saying that it seems to me that 16:17:00	11 Q Did you have any substantive discussions with 16:19:07
12	there were sufficient storm flags out there And I 16:17:02	12 C&L employees. Coopers & Lybrand employees. during 16:19:12
13	don't know what conversations were going on. because I 16:17:06	13 your time at AHERF? 16:19:14
14	wasn't in meetings for a year or more before the final 16:17:08	14 A. On a couple of occasions, relatively rare 16:19:16
15	thing. 16:17:12	15 occasions I remember we had a discussion back in the 16:19:19
16	I'm not sure I saw a Board member in 16:17:13 that actually, I did I went to a meeting in the 16:17:15	16 fall of '92 or sometime in '93 about a 337-B problem. 16:19:23 17 which was that McConnell wanted to do away with the 16:19:33
17	that actually, I did. I went to a meeting in the 16:17:15 summer of '98 because Wynstra was in San Diego. They 16:17:17	18 DHG, which owned the airplane, which had been 16:19:37
18	related to what they were going to do about the 16:17:22	19 depreciated and if he had done away with DHG, 337-B 16:19:41
19	faculty and how they were going to cut back on 16:17:25	20 would have required that Allegheny recognize a large 16:19:45
21	faculty, and there were some complicated issues there 16:17:28	21 gain on disposition, because they would have had an 16:19:48
22	about the American Association of University 16:17:41	22 imputed fair market value relative to the depreciated 16:19:51
23	Professors and tenure rules and so forth and so on 16:17:41	23 value 16:19:54
24	That was a mechanical meeting more or less And these 16:17:41	We had run across this issue when I was at 16:19:56
25	people were attempting to deal in good faith with what 16:17:41	25 Drinker before I came in there. It came up and 16:19:58
	Page 307	Page 309
	was going on 16:17:41 So there may have been efforts going on, 16:17:41	2 The Coopers people didn't seem to know too 16:20:06
2 3	but you certainly didn't see it reflected in the 16:17:44	3 much about it. I remember having a discussion with 16:20:07
4	broader world And it took them a long time to file. 16:17:48	4 somebody by telephone. I undoubtedly had some others. 16:20:11
5	which kind of surprised me. I mean. I wasn't entirely 16:17:52	5 I'm trying to think of one other I had 16:20:15
6	sure why that happened, but 16:17:55	6 Very rarely I mean, you know, yeah, 16:20:21
7	Q. During your time at AHERF, do you personally 16:17:58	7 occasionally I had had - actually, it's interesting, 16:20:24
8	know of any AHERF employees who embezzled funds from 16:18:00	8 I had more interaction with the Coopers people back in 16:20:27
9	AHERF? 16:18:05	9 the '91, '92 time period when we were doing the United 16:20:30
10	A Oh. I remember we had a you mean AHERF 16:18:07	10 transaction and so forth, and I was involved in a 16:20:35
11	literally or any of the entities? 16:18:10	11 number of discussions at that point with Bill Buettner 16:20:37
12	Q. Any of the AHERF entities 16:18:11	12 and various other people. We'd had some interaction 16:20:41
13		13 with them when they did the Levy practice 16:20:43
14	•	14 acquisitions, because Coopers had done the feasibility 16:20:45
15	-	15 on that. 16:20:47
16	• •	16 There were Coopers people, Buettner was 16:20:48
17		17 one of them, at the due diligence meetings in the '96 16:20:51
18	16.48.64	18 transaction. I don't remember having any particular 16:20:54
19		19 substantive discussions with them as opposed to just 16:20:58
20	•	20 the rest of the group 16:21:00
21		21 No. You know, I anticipated you were 16:21:01 22 going to ask me this. And I had very little 16:21:04
22		22 going to ask me this. And I had very little 16:21:04 23 interaction with Coopers I mean, that was it was 16:21:06
23		24 my impression that relationship was pretty much 16:21:09
24	and the same of th	25 controlled by McConnell. He did all the talking, and 16:21:11
25	5 employee? 16:18:45	25 Someone of the same at the me and the manig. and 10.21,11
i i		1

	ROBERT M. I	WCNAIR, JR.
1	Page 310 all of the communications to and from Coopers pretty 16:21:14	Page 312 O. Were you in charge of reviewing those 16:23:28
	• • • •	,
2	much came through his office. 16:21:17	W
3	Q When you mentioned 337-B, that's a corporate 16:21:19	3 A. No, I was not in charge. They distributed 16:23:31
4	tax code? 16:21:22	4 them to a large number of people I just happened to 16:23:33
5	A. Yes. That's the Internal Revenue code. It's 16:21:23	5 be one of the people who got it. 16:23:35
6	a provision that — 16:21:25	6 Q. Did you ever see anything that you thought 16:23:36
7	Q. Of basis? 16:21:26	7 was inappropriate or misstated? 16:23:37
8	A - mandates recognition of distributions for 16:21:26	8 A. No. 1 might have made minor amendments to 16:23:40
9	non-profits of to non-profits if taxables are wound up 16:21:29	9 them, but there was nothing materially wrong with 16:23:44
10	or merged or whatever. It's to prevent non-profits 16:21:36	10 them I mean, by and large, it revolved around the 16:23:45
	from setting up taxable subsidiaries, running taxable 16:21:38	11 financials. I mean, the financials said what the 16:23:47
12	businesses, de preciating assets. Then when they're 16:21:42	12 financials said. I mean, I didn't consider myself 16:23:50
1	done with the usiness, giving the assets to the 16:21:46	13 competent to flyspeck the financials. 16:23:52
13		
14	mon promit and morning to the game and game,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15	because they've taken advantage of the loss on the 16:21:50	
16	depreciation. That's the purpose of that provision. 16:21:54	16 Q. Could you explain the nature of your credit 16:23:58
17	Q Do you recall any of the substance of your 16:21:56	17 claim? 16:24:01
18	discussions with Coopers & Lybrand about any of the 16:21:58	18 A. I think I know what the nature of it is 16:24:02
19	points you've mentioned? 16:22:01	19 They really didn't tell me 16:24:04
20	A. No. I mean, there were there just weren't 16:22:02	20 I was a participant in the so-called 16:24:06
21	that many. I mean, I'm sort of scouring my memory 16:22:06	21 Execuflex Plan, which was a flexible benefits plan, 16:24:13
22	banks 16:22:10	22 non-qualified, for senior executives. And what they 16:24:14
23	No I mean, I don't think I talked to 16:22:14	23 would do is, they would give you a specified sum of 16:24:16
24	Bill for the last couple of years I was there. If I 16:22:16	24 money, which was a percentage of your salary or your 16:24:21
25	did, he may have been on a conference call where there 16:22:21	25 comp, and that would be used to pay your Social 16:24:25
	Page 311	Page 313
1	were multiple parties on it. It would not have been a 16:22:23	1 Security taxes and your FICA and a bunch of other 16:24:28
2	one-on-one conversation. 16:22:24	2 things And then you would have optional things you 16:24:30
3	I would not have been — I mean, I don't 16:22:28	3 could buy, like insurance, extra vacation, expanded 16:24:33
4	think I ever talked to their auditors in the context 16:22:30	4 disability coverage and some other things 16:24:35
5	of an audit. I don't remember having - I mean. 16:22:33	5 And any amounts that weren't funded in 16:24:37
6	occasionally the one thing I remember looking at 16:22:49	6 that plan were supposed to be put aside into basically 16:24:41
7	their work product for was that every year, we would 16:22:49	7 a pour-over account, which you could get within two 16:24:48
8	do bond disclosure stuff, which included their 16:22:49	8 years after it was put in there, provided you were 16:24:51
9	financials. I mean, we would get to see those kind of 16:22:49	9 still working there and so forth and so on. 16:24:53
10	before they were kind of put out to the general 16:22:52	10 My impression is - and I don't know this 16:24:56
11	public, and we would look at the disclosure and just 16:22:54	11 to a certainty - my impression is that portions of 16:24:59
12	say whether we thought it was adequate or not 16:22:55	12 those amounts were not funded and that portions of 16:25:00
13	And so but, no. I didn't see a lot 16:22:57	13 certain other benefits may not have been funded 16:25:03
14	of five minutes I didn't see a lot of them, and 16:23:01	14 All I know is that I had a claim, but I 16:25:06
1	I don't recall having had a lot of discussions with 16:23:06	15 had no idea how much it was, because I didn't know 16:25:10
15		
16	- "	
17	with me and can recite it. I'd be happy to recall it. 16:23:10	
18		18 17,000 maybe, give or take. 16:25:19
19		19 Q Is Drinker Biddle & Reath a creditor of 16:25:22
20		20 AHERF? 16:25:24
21	statements. of Coopers & Lybrand were - or of AHERF 16:23:17	21 A. Yes, they are 16:25:25
22	that Coopers had audited were put into the bond 16:23:21	22 Q Is their credit claim just based on legal 16:25:27
23	disclosures; is that right? 16:23:23	23 services that were rendered? 16:25:30
24		24 A. Yes. And they've received some 16:25:32
47		
25	correct. 16:23:28	25 distributions, just as I have. I think the total 16:25:33

TAB 173

In The Matter Of:

AHERF v. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, L.L.P.

> MICHAEL W. MOYER November 15, 2002

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. 420 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10170 (212) 557-7400 FAX: (212) 692-9171

> Original File 111502MM TXT, 229 Pages Min-U-Script® File ID. 2642749073

Word Index included with this Min-U-Script®

AHERF v. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, L.L.P.

Page 198

[1] just identify the document?

A: It's basically a request for information so

[3] that they could perform their audit for fiscal

[4] year 1997, and it wanted certain pieces of

information and wanted it either in computer or

(6) otherwise.

[7] **Q**: Just to be clear, this is information you

[8] received from John Lydon and Chuck Lisman at

191 the request from them to provide information to

[10] Coopers?

(11) A: Correct.

[12] **Q**: In connection with the '97 audit, is that

[13] right?

[14] A: That's correct.

[15] Q: Okay. You don't recollect receiving this?

[16] A: Truthfully, it didn't jump into my mind. I do

not deny getting it, because I'm sure I did,

[18] but since it would have been a standard —

[19] nothing that jumps out that would have been odd

(20) to me

[21] Q: Do you have any reason to believe that you

[22] failed to provide —

[23] A: Oh, I'm sure we provided all information that

[24] was requested of us.

[25] Q: Okay. Was that your standard practice?

Page 199

A: Our standard practice was — and, truthfully, la had we not, I'm sure one of the managers would

[3] have called me directly and said your people

[4] are not providing information, and we did not

[5] get a call. So, I'm sure when we received

[6] this, that I just turned it over to those

people that would have provided the information

[8] and moved on Because, truthfully, looking at

[9] the things that they've asked for, almost

[10] everything that they ask for was information

[11] that would have come from the accounting

[12] people. None of them worked for me at this

[13] point in time, okay, which is another reason

[14] for me not necessarily to remember, because

[15] even though I was on the distribution list, I'm

[16] not sure that any of this information was under

my control any longer, and the people who did control it were also on the distribution list,

[19] SO —

Q: I know you mentioned earlier that you may have

[21] had a discussion with David McConnell about

[22] recapture?

[23] A: Correct.

Q: And I'm not sure if I understood earlier that

[25] you had told us about the entire conversation

Page 200

[1] you had with him about that, and I just wanted

[2] to give you a chance.

A: I don't remember the entire conversation. I

[4] know that it was not long. I'm sure that

[5] probably in one of our one-on-one meetings that

16) we had occasionally, I asked him about the

[7] recapture, I mean, just the philosophy that

[8] they use since they had just done this at

[9] Graduate Hospital as well, and the philosophy

that they use to come up with the numbers and

[11] how they went about it. It was not a

[12] confrontational meeting, because you did not do

[13] that with David, it was just really, gee, I'd

[14] like to understand what you did. It was

[15] probably two or three minutes long, and that

[16] was it.

(17) Q: When is the first time that you learned that

[18] AHERF was planning on acquiring the Graduate

[19] hospitals?

A: I think that came up after we had started

[21] discussions with AHERF about our own merger, if

[22] I remember correctly, and I know it was a — it

[23] was a little bit of a concern, and I'm not sure

[24] if I found out about it through the news or

[25] whether I got word of it through Barry Roth who

Page 201

[1] would have gotten word of it from Sherif

(2) himself

[3] Q: Why was it of concern?

A: Were they biting off more than they could chew

[5] kind of thing all at once to do the Graduate,

[6] which was not a small system, and then at the

m same time to be talking about Forbes and

[8] Allegheny Valley, Canonsburg. This was just a

[9] lot to do even for a huge staff that they had.

[10] Q: You mentioned that prior to AHERF's acquisition

[11] of Forbes, you had reviewed materials about

[12] AHERF that gave no indication that there was a

[13] cash crunch I think is what you called it.

[14] What did you review that helped you arrive at

[15] that conclusion?

[16] A: We reviewed the audited financial statements

[17] from the previous year end.

Q: Which would have been?

[19] A: Which would have been — let's see. When did

[20] we do this? We did this in '96, right, because

1211 the merger was effective January 1, '97? So we

1221 were in '96. So we probably were using '95

1231 year end, because we were doing this before

[25] complete for '96, so it's my guess that we were

[24] their audited financials would have been

[1] liked to live my life, so they were rumors, and

Q: When you were at Forbes and you had

A: Okay A little history The year before I

(12) operations, and one of the reasons that they [13] had a loss from operations was they settled a

[14] prior year's cost report and they did not have

[15] it properly reserved, so they had to pay back

or Blue Cross, I don't remember — and then they had to take that all through the current

[19] year which created a loss, which at the time

[21] for a hospital like in '78, '79, those were big

[22] dollars. The board was very upset, one of the

My objective from day one at Forbes,

[20] was only a couple hundred thousand dollars, but

some money that the government had paid them —

[11] went to Forbes, they had a loss from

Q: — what was your objective when you would

151 responsibility for creating what you've called

[2] so I tend not to like to repeat rumors too

[3] much, but I just didn't trust him.

[6] CRA reserves —

[9] create those reserves?

1231 reasons I got hired.

A: Yes.

[8]

Page 204

Page 202

Page 202

looking at the year before, '95, and we

probably — although I don't remember at the moment, but I would think that we also had some

interim internal financial statements from them

as well that maybe took us through half year,

1 through January, but those would have been

1 unaudited statements

Q: Was there anything else that you would have

1 reviewed other than those two items?

A: I do not remember getting cash flow information

1 from them, but, again, that's so long ago. I

1 know we did not get a huge amount of

n information from them, but we did at least have

n some financial statement information, and

a several years' worth, that we analyzed before

noving ahead.

η Q: Why didn't you think that David McConnell was a truthful person?

A: My association with Mr. McConnell goes back to

of 1980 when he applied to me for a job and I

1] didn't hire him. I thought his background in

2) accounting was weak, personal view, and the

3] slipshod way that they ran their accounting

4) department which became apparent after the

5] merger. We really had no knowledge of that

Page 203

Page 205

ij prior to the merger. I just didn't find — I

21 found it easy when you have a slipshod

3 operation to shade the truth in the direction

(4) that you want the truth to go, and it was just

[5] my personal view that I did not think that they

[6] ran a very tight ship. I didn't think that

[7] their accounting controls were very good.

[8] Certainly, the information that they provided

[9] to their managers was not very good in

10] comparison to what we did at Forbes, and I just

iii did not think that he was always being

12) truthful

(a) Q: I guess it's one thing to have a slipshod

141 department, but it's probably another thing to

15] be untruthful. Is there something other than

16] that?

17] I don't think I'm asking a good

18] question, but what I'm trying to understand is

19] was there something other than the nature of

201 the accounting department that operated at

21] AHERF that allowed — or helped you arrive at 22] the conclusion that McConnell was not to be

23] trusted?

A: Let's say the rumors that I heard about him and

25] the conduct of his life did not match the way I

[1] accounting, is to be conservative. So, we at

[25] and my personal philosophy in finance

[2] Forbes always took the conservative role. I

[3] always underbooked revenue. I would always

141 reserve on the bad side to make sure that when

[5] it happened, I was going to have a happy

[6] surprise for the board and not a bad surprise

[7] for the board, and I think in all of my years

[8] at Forbes, we only had one bad surprise. We

[9] were very conservative in booking what we

[10] thought people owed us as settlements so we

[11] would end up with reserves that were reserves

[12] that were really, you know, going to help us at

[13] the end, because when we actually got the

[14] settlement, we would end up with more money

than what we thought we said we were going to

in get

[17] Q: Were you bound by any requirements to make sure

1181 that you did not — were not overly

1191 conservative?

[20] A: Yes.

[21] Q: What were those requirements?

A: Well, obviously, again, the auditors are

[23] concerned that we not misstate in either

[24] direction, not overstate income or understate

[25] income to any great degree, but most auditing

Page 208

AHERF v. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, L.L.P.

Page 206 [1] firms are also going — if you're going to make [2] a mistake, they would rather you made the [3] mistake of being more conservative rather than [4] less conservative has been my experience over [5] the years. Q: Why do you think that is? [6] A: Well, because they would rather report that [7] [8] your income was less than it was and be [9] surprised to the good in the future as well. [10] They don't want people thinking that you are [11] doing better than you are. Q: People who might be relying on the financial [12] [13] statements? A: Which in our case was bondholders and bankers. [15] I mean, we had no stockholders, so those were [16] our two constituencies that we needed to make [17] sure that the statements were accurate for. Q: If you were to transfer reserves, CRA reserves [19] that had been established to another account, [20] for instance — is there any reason why you

iii the reserve. That was Barb Johnson's job. She (2) is the expert in reimbursement, one of the best in town. So that was her job to come up with [4] the number and then convince me that it was [5] right. It did not take a lot of convincing. [6] She was the expert and I wasn't. But I know [7] our philosophy over 20 years, and our [8] philosophy was we are going to be conservative [9] in our reserves. So I'm sure that our CRA [10] reserve was conservative. I have no doubts in (11) my mind that it wasn't. Q: When did you learn that AHERF would be using a [13] consolidated financial statement for '97 — for 1141 its '97 financial statements? A: Probably not until late, but that was not a [16] surprise to me. I had assumed all along that they would consolidate and use one statement [18] We hadn't discussed it, so I didn't know for [19] sure until later in the process, but I just 1201 assumed that that would be the case. Q: Did you have an opinion about the decision to [22] move to a consolidated financial statement? A: No, I didn't have an opinion one way or [24] another. MR. TAMBURRI: Let's go off the 1251 Page 209 Page 207

(1) hospital, and it's specific to what happened at
(2) that hospital. So there may be occasion, and
(3) I'm not just seeing it being a rather
(4) short-sighted person sometimes, as to why one
(5) might want to transfer a reserve from one body
(6) to another body, but at the moment, I can't —
(7) in my own mind, I can't see why anyone would do
(8) that.

[21] would not transfer reserves that you had

established, CRA reserves, to another account?

A: Well, we go back to the same question as

[24] earlier I don't know why anyone would ever

[25] transfer a CRA account. It's specific to the

[9] **Q:** Is the risk of doing that that if a reserve was [10] properly recorded — let me step back.

[11] If a risk — if a reserve was
[12] properly recorded for, and I'm specifically
[13] talking about a CRA reserve, would the risk be
[14] that if you transferred that reserve to another
[15] account, you have essentially underreserved a
[16] potential exposure?

A: Well, there's always the risk that we had underreserved and that we were going to then [19] collect less than we said or that we were going to owe more than we said, whichever, both of [20] to owe more than we said, whichever, both of [21] those would be an underreserve, but I know in [22] the case of Forbes, we did not underreserve. [23] It was not our practice to underreserve. It [24] was not our philosophy of the people who were [25] determining — I personally did not determine

[1] record. I'm going to take a look at my notes, 121 but I think I'm about there. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off [4] the record. The time indicated on the screen [5] is 3:25 p.m. [8] (There was a recess in the proceedings.) [7] [8] THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the [9] not record. The time indicated is 3:31 p.m. BY MR. TAMBURRI: [11] Q: Almost done, Mr. Moyer. [12] How many years in your career at [14] Forbes did you spend having some interaction or [15] responsibility for interacting with either the [16] bond-issuing authority or bondholders of [17] Forbes? A: I think my interaction with the bond authority [19] started almost immediately after I started with [20] the organization, so, truthfully, since like [21] 1980. Even though it was not my direct [22] responsibility the first year or two, I

23) attended most of the meetings with the then 24) vice president of finance whose responsibility

[25] it was, and in some cases just went to the

TAB 174

In The Matter Of:

AHERF v. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, LLP.

> JOSEPH D. LITTLE September 18, 2003

LEGALINK MANHATTAN

420 Lexington Avenue - Suite 2108 New York, NY 10170 PH: 212-557-7400 / FAX: 212-692-9171

LITTLE, JOSEPH D.



A WORDWAVE COMPANY

JOSEPH D. LITTLE

Page 129 Page 131 Q. I was curious if you recall --Finance Committee, there was considerable time A My guess is that, again, I will have to go 2 allotted -- or, let me strike that 3 back to the Warminster Hospital. I spent more time 3 Do you recall if at meetings of at Warminster Hospital than I did at all AHERF AHERF Finance Committee there were specific time 5 meetings allotted for discussion after each topic had been 6 Q. Do you recall if in Fiscal Year 1997, what 6 presented? 7 type of time commitment per month you spent on your A. I don't specifically recall one way or the 8 Trustee responsibilities? A Not unless you have these where I filled out Q. Mr. Little, do you recall if at meetings of 10 I do not, no. I wouldn't have the faintest idea. the AHERF Finance Committee, most of the discussion Q. In fact, I am curious, Mr Little Do you 11 was between a Trustee and a member of management, 12 recall filling out any Trustee Evaluations after as opposed to amongst the Trustees? 13 Fiscal Year 1995, or --13 MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. I don't recall any evaluations at all. 14 14 A. Specifically, no, I don't recall whether it Q. Do you recall if -- only if you can quantify 15 was with management or among the Trustees, or 15 it for Fiscal Year 1997 - if the time you spent 16 committee members. per month on your Trustee responsibilities was 17 17 Q. Mr. Little, do you recall approximately how 18 closer to the 8 to 10 hours that you indicated in many people were on the Board for the Eastern 18 1994, the 20 to 25 in 1995, or any other amount 19 hospitals? 20 that you can give me a ballpark figure for? 20 MS MEADEN: Objection Foundation 21 A. No. sir. 21 Form. 22 MS. MEADEN: And I am just going to 22 A The number? Specific -- no 23 object to the reference to Fiscal Year '95. There 23 O. General. is no indication on the Trustee Evaluation whether 24 When you attended the meetings, do it was completed in Fiscal Year or Calendar Year 25 you roughly have a sense of how many people were Page 130 Page 132 1995, and there may be a difference. 1 1 there? 2 And the same is true with --MS. MEADEN: Same objection. 2 3 MR LUFT: That's fair 3 A. A guess, 20. But that's --4 BY MR LUFT: Q. That's a guess; right? 5 Q. And I will ask. 5 A. Yes. I don't know. If any of my questions, if your Q. And if you don't know, you can just tell me 6 6 answers to any of my questions change, if you 7 7 that. It would be a clearer record if you don't switch it to calendar year from fiscal year? 8 8 guess. A. No, they would not. 9 A. I don't recall the numbers 10 Q. Mr. Little, did you believe you received 10 Q. Mr. Little, as a member of the Eastern Board, 11 sufficient materials to adequately perform your 11 do you recall that there was both an Eastern Board 12 Trustee responsibilities? and then a parent Board above that for AHERF, and 12 13 A. I don't know what "sufficient materials" would 13 then specific Boards for the specific hospitals? 14 mean. 14 MS. MEADEN: Objection as to form. 15 My concern was receiving materials 15 Vague. 16 sooner, that I could digest the material and ask A. If I recall, the Boards had members, and then 17 questions 17 there was the Allegheny Board. That's what I 18 Q. And because they were only provided to you at 18 recall. Other than committees. 19 the meetings? Q. Do you recall if in this multi-layered system 19 20 A. Usually that's the case. Everything was at you just identified with committees, and then a 21 the meetings. 21 parent Board, the Allegheny Board, and then Boards Q. So usually you didn't feel you had enough time 22 of the hospitals, there was ever some confusion as 23 to sufficiently digest the material? 23 to what the responsibilities of each Board were? A. No. 24 MS MEADEN: Objection Form. 25 Q. Do you recall if at meetings of the AHERF 25 Foundation.

JOSEPH D. LITTLE

	Page 133		Page 135
1	A. No.	1	Islands?
2	Q No, you don't recall?	2	MS MEADEN: Objection
3	A. I don't recall.	3	A. My understanding is that Allegheny paid for
4	MS MEADEN: Same objection	4	it.
5	BY MR. LUFT:	5	Q. Mr. Little, are you on any medications today
6	Q. Earlier you had identified to me that you were	6	which would affect your memory?
7	a member of AHERF's a Board for AHERF's	7	A I am on insulin Whether and that's never
8	insurance?	8	had an effect on my memory, unless I was in shock.
9	A. Yes, sir.	9	I am on other medications because of
10	Q. And I had asked you if you recall if the name	10	malignancy, but I don't think that has any effect
11	of that company was well, commonly known as	11	on my memory
12	AHSPIC?	12	MR LUFT: Mr Little, thank you
13	A. Yes, I think that was it.	13	very much for your time today. I have no further
14	Q. And you mentioned to me that they met in the	14	questions at this time. And I really do appreciate
15	Cayman Islands, or they were located in the Cayman	15	you coming down here to talk to me
16	Islands. I can't recall what you said.	16	MS. MEADEN: Mr. Little, my
17	A. Yes, that was where the Board meetings were,	17	questioning is going to be very short in comparison
18	in the Cayman Islands	18	to Mr. Luft's questioning, but I would like to take
19	As to meetings here, I don't I	19	about five or ten minutes to gather my notes, and
20	don't remember	20	then we will start up and we will get you out of
21	Q. And AHSPIC was a captive insurer for AHERF?	21	here in time to make your meeting this afternoon
22	MS. MEADEN: Objection	22	THE WITNESS: Fine
23	A. Yes, I think so	23	MR LUFT: We are going to take a
24	Q. And when I say, "captive insurer," what is	24	break
25	your understanding of what I am referring to?	25	VIDEO SPECIALIST: We are now going
	Page 134		Page 136
1	MS. MEADEN: Objection.	1	off the record, and the time is 1:47.
2	BY MR. LUFT:	2	(Short recess.)
.3	Q. Or let me strike that and ask you, do you have	3	VIDEO SPECIALIST: We are now back
4	an understanding of what the term "captive insurer"		TABLE OF BOTH LIGHT, IT CAN ON OUR
5		4	on the record, and the time is 1:54.
	refers to?	4 5	
6	refers to? A. Yes.	Į.	on the record, and the time is 1:54.
6 7		5	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN:
	A. Yes.	5 6	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier.
7	A. Yes.Q. And what is that?A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance.	5 6 7	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes.
7 8	A. Yes.Q. And what is that?A. My understanding is that the captives is an	5 6 7 8	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden.
7 8 9	A. Yes.Q. And what is that?A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance.	5 6 7 8 9	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this
7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were 	5 6 7 8 9	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon.
7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. 	5 6 7 8 9 10	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and
7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13-	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13- 14 15	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you. Q. And, again, if you need to take a break at any
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's where the corporation and the offshore company was,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13- 14 15 16	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's where the corporation and the offshore company was, and that Board meetings are required in the capital	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you. Q. And, again, if you need to take a break at any time, please let me know. Mr. Little, I am trying to get a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's where the corporation and the offshore company was, and that Board meetings are required in the capital of where the captive was.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13- 14 15 16 17 18	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you. Q. And, again, if you need to take a break at any time, please let me know.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's where the corporation and the offshore company was, and that Board meetings are required in the capital of where the captive was. Q. Do you recall who gave you that explanation?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you. Q. And, again, if you need to take a break at any time, please let me know. Mr. Little, I am trying to get a better understanding of your years of service on
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's where the corporation and the offshore company was, and that Board meetings are required in the capital of where the captive was. Q. Do you recall who gave you that explanation? A. Not specifically, no.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you. Q. And, again, if you need to take a break at any time, please let me know. Mr. Little, I am trying to get a better understanding of your years of service on some of the Boards and committees that we have talked about earlier today.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's where the corporation and the offshore company was, and that Board meetings are required in the capital of where the captive was. Q. Do you recall who gave you that explanation? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Do you recall if any lawyer had ever confirmed	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13- 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you. Q. And, again, if you need to take a break at any time, please let me know. Mr. Little, I am trying to get a better understanding of your years of service on some of the Boards and committees that we have talked about earlier today. Do you recall when you started on
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's where the corporation and the offshore company was, and that Board meetings are required in the capital of where the captive was. Q. Do you recall who gave you that explanation? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Do you recall if any lawyer had ever confirmed for you that in fact that was required by law?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you. Q. And, again, if you need to take a break at any time, please let me know. Mr. Little, I am trying to get a better understanding of your years of service on some of the Boards and committees that we have talked about earlier today.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And what is that? A. My understanding is that the captives is an offshore company self-insurance. Q. Now, you mentioned that Board meetings were held in the Cayman Islands. Do you recall if you knew why meetings were held in the Cayman Islands? A. Yes. I questioned several times why we had to go to the Cayman Islands, and was told that that's where the corporation and the offshore company was, and that Board meetings are required in the capital of where the captive was. Q. Do you recall who gave you that explanation? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Do you recall if any lawyer had ever confirmed for you that in fact that was required by law? MS. MEADEN: Objection.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13- 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on the record, and the time is 1:54. BY MS. MEADEN: Q. Mr. Little, I introduced myself earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, as you know, my name is Laura Meaden. And I will be asking you a few questions here this afternoon. If you don't hear a question I ask or you don't understand it, please let me know, and I will do my best to more clearly articulate it or to rephrase the question. A. Thank you. Q. And, again, if you need to take a break at any time, please let me know. Mr. Little, I am trying to get a better understanding of your years of service on some of the Boards and committees that we have talked about earlier today. Do you recall when you started on the Warminster Board, what year that would have

JOSEPH D. LITTLE

	Page 137		Page 139
1	It's been I would guess at least 15 years back	1	decisionmaking involving whether the hospital
2	Q. Back to the late 1980s?	2	should go into bankruptcy or which hospital should
3	A. That would be my guess. I don't recall	3	go into bankruptcy?
4	specifically, no.	4	A. I don't recall ever discussing that at a
5	Q. Do you recall how long you had been on the	5	meeting, no.
6	Westminister Board before Westminister was	6	Q. And I am going to ask you to wait just a
7	acquired	7	little bit until I finish my question. It makes it
8	A. Warminster	8	easier for our court reporter to get it down.
9	Q. I'm sorry. Warminster. I apologize	9	A. Okay. All right.
10	How long you had been on the	10	Q. It makes the transcript cleaner.
11	Warminster Board before it was acquired by United	11	Do you recall when you first went
12	Hospitals?	12	onto the Board of the entity known as AIHG, the
13	A. No, not specifically dates.	13	group that was responsible for the physician
14	Q. Do you recall, when AHERF acquired Warminster	14	practices?
15	and the other United Hospitals, did you right at	15	A. Do I remember the date?
16	the time of acquisition go onto the AHERF Finance Committee, or was	16	Q. Generally speaking, the year.
17 18	A I don't think so. I think it was after that	17 18	A. No, I don't Q. Do you recall when you left service on that
19	As to the length of time, I'm not	19	Board?
20	sure I don't recall.	20	A. No, I don't.
21	Q. And you don't recall when you went off the	21	Q. Do you recall whether or not you were on the
22	Finance Committee at AHERF; correct?	22	Board at the time of bankruptcy in July of 1998?
23	A. No, ma'am, I don't	23	And I am talking about the AIHG Board
24	Q. Mr. Luft throughout the deposition referred to	24	A. No, I don't.
25	the Eastern hospital Boards	25	Q. Now, you were asked a number of questions
	Page 138		Page 140
1	Did you have an understanding that	1	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG
2	you were a member of an entity that was called the	2	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board.
2	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern	2 3	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony
2 3 4	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes.	2 3 4	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any
2 3 4 5	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in	2 3 4 5	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct?
2 3 4 5 6	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was?	2 3 4 5 6	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection
2 3 4 5 6 7	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical	2 3 4 5 6 7	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection A. No. Q. And you have no recollection of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern A. I seem to recall, yes, that yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS MEADEN: Objection A No. Q And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS MEADEN: Objection A No. Q And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection A. No. Q. And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital Board, before or after those hospitals filed for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to acquire or not acquire physician practices;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital Board, before or after those hospitals filed for bankruptcy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to acquire or not acquire physician practices; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital Board, before or after those hospitals filed for bankruptcy? A. I believe I was still on that Board when they did. I believe. Q. And I will represent to you that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS MEADEN: Objection A No. Q And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS MEADEN: Objection A No. Q And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to acquire or not acquire physician practices; correct? MS MEADEN: Objection A No Q And earlier you had talked about perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital Board, before or after those hospitals filed for bankruptcy? A. I believe I was still on that Board when they did. I believe. Q. And I will represent to you that the bankruptcy filing was in July of 1998.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS MEADEN: Objection. A No. Q And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS MEADEN: Objection. A No. Q And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to acquire or not acquire physician practices; correct? MS MEADEN: Objection. A No. Q And earlier you had talked about perhaps raising some questions about the prices paid for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital Board, before or after those hospitals filed for bankruptcy? A. I believe I was still on that Board when they did. I believe. Q. And I will represent to you that the bankruptcy filing was in July of 1998. Does that help put in context when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to acquire or not acquire physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And earlier you had talked about perhaps raising some questions about the prices paid for certain of the physician acquisitions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital Board, before or after those hospitals filed for bankruptcy? A. I believe I was still on that Board when they did. I believe. Q. And I will represent to you that the bankruptcy filing was in July of 1998. Does that help put in context when you may have gotten off the Board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to acquire or not acquire physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And earlier you had talked about perhaps raising some questions about the prices paid for certain of the physician acquisitions. But do I understand your testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital Board, before or after those hospitals filed for bankruptcy? A. I believe I was still on that Board when they did. I believe. Q. And I will represent to you that the bankruptcy filing was in July of 1998. Does that help put in context when you may have gotten off the Board? A. No, not really.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to acquire or not acquire physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And earlier you had talked about perhaps raising some questions about the prices paid for certain of the physician acquisitions. But do I understand your testimony to be that you don't recall specific discussions or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you were a member of an entity that was called the Eastern — A. I seem to recall, yes, that — yes. Q. Do you recall what the name of that Board in particular was? A. I thought, I thought it was the Medical College Hospital Board. Q. The MCPHUHS Board? A. I think so, yes. Q. And can you give me a rough estimate as to when you first went onto that Board? A. No, I really can't. I don't recall the dates. Q. Do you recall if you left service on the — I will refer to it also as the Eastern Hospital Board, before or after those hospitals filed for bankruptcy? A. I believe I was still on that Board when they did. I believe. Q. And I will represent to you that the bankruptcy filing was in July of 1998. Does that help put in context when you may have gotten off the Board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earlier by Mr. Luft about the meetings of the AIHG Board. And I understand from your testimony that you really don't recall the specifics of any meetings of that Board that you attended; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you don't recall the specific discussions at any of those meetings about acquiring or not acquiring certain physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And you have no recollection of any discussions that may have been may have occurred at that Board about the strategy for deciding to acquire or not acquire physician practices; correct? MS. MEADEN: Objection. A. No. Q. And earlier you had talked about perhaps raising some questions about the prices paid for certain of the physician acquisitions. But do I understand your testimony